

BEFORE THE
POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20268-0001

RETAIL ACCESS OPTIMIZATION INITIATIVE, 2011

Docket No. N2011-1

**UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE RESPONSES TO
PRESIDING OFFICER'S INFORMATION REQUEST NO. 2**
(August 30, 2011)

The United States Postal Service provides its responses to Questions 1 through 11 and 13-18 of Presiding Officer's Information Request No. 2, dated August 24, 2011. Each question is stated verbatim and followed by the response. Possible responses to question 12 (Yezer research), which is essentially extrinsic to RAO, are being investigated.

Respectfully submitted,

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

By its attorneys:

Anthony F. Alverno, Jr.
Chief Counsel, Global Business

Kenneth N. Hollies
James M. Mecone
Michael T. Tidwell

475 L'Enfant Plaza West, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20260-1137
(202) 268-2998; Fax -5402
August 30, 2011

**UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE RESPONSE TO
PRESIDING OFFICER'S INFORMATION REQUEST NO. 2**

1. Please refer to witness Boldt's testimony (USPS-T-1), page 3, lines 4-9.
Please identify which category 1 offices are "part-time" offices.

RESPONSE:

998 category 1 offices are "part-time". Each is identified on the attached list.

District	Office	Level	FAC Type	State
ALASKA PFC	LAKE MINCHUMINA	51	MAIN_PO	AK
ALASKA PFC	NIKOLSKI	51	MAIN_PO	AK
ARKANSAS PFC	CALE	51	MAIN_PO	AR
ARIZONA PFC	BLUE	51	MAIN_PO	AZ
HAWKEYE PFC	PROLE	51	MAIN_PO	IA
CENTRAL PLAINS PFC	HOLLENBERG	51	MAIN_PO	KS
CENTRAL PLAINS PFC	WALDO	51	MAIN_PO	KS
MID-AMERICA PFC	BENEDICT	51	MAIN_PO	KS
MID-AMERICA PFC	NEOSHO FALLS	51	MAIN_PO	KS
KENTUCKIANA PFC	MOUNT HERMON	51	MAIN_PO	KY
KENTUCKIANA PFC	RHODELIA	51	MAIN_PO	KY
KENTUCKIANA PFC	LONE	51	MAIN_PO	KY
NORTHLAND PFC	CORRELL	51	MAIN_PO	MN
NORTHLAND PFC	BRIMSON	51	MAIN_PO	MN
MID-AMERICA PFC	DUNNEGAN	51	MAIN_PO	MO
MID-AMERICA PFC	MARTINSVILLE	51	MAIN_PO	MO
MID-AMERICA PFC	WORTH	51	MAIN_PO	MO
MID-AMERICA PFC	GIPSY	51	MAIN_PO	MO
MID-AMERICA PFC	GOBLER	51	MAIN_PO	MO
MID-AMERICA PFC	MONTIER	51	MAIN_PO	MO
MID-AMERICA PFC	REDFORD	51	MAIN_PO	MO
GATEWAY PFC	EMDEN	51	MAIN_PO	MO
GATEWAY PFC	PLEVNA	51	MAIN_PO	MO
DAKOTAS PFC	BANTRY	51	MAIN_PO	ND
DAKOTAS PFC	CARTWRIGHT	51	MAIN_PO	ND
DAKOTAS PFC	EGELAND	51	MAIN_PO	ND
DAKOTAS PFC	WOODWORTH	51	MAIN_PO	ND
WESTERN NEW YORK PFC	NIOBE	51	MAIN_PO	NY
NORTHERN OHIO PFC	ISLE SAINT GEORGE	51	MAIN_PO	OH
CENTRAL PENNSYLVANIA PFC	JERSEY MILLS	51	MAIN_PO	PA
WESTERN PENNSYLVANIA PFC	LAMARTINE	51	MAIN_PO	PA
DAKOTAS PFC	ROCKHAM	51	MAIN_PO	SD
RICHMOND PFC	MEREDITHVILLE	51	MAIN_PO	VA
APPALACHIAN PFC	ORISKANY	51	MAIN_PO	VA
APPALACHIAN PFC	GIVEN	51	MAIN_PO	WV
APPALACHIAN PFC	ORLANDO	51	MAIN_PO	WV
NORTHLAND PFC	ARCO	52	MAIN_PO	MN
DAKOTAS PFC	SUMATRA	52	MAIN_PO	MT
APPALACHIAN PFC	SOUTHSIDE	52	MAIN_PO	WV
ALASKA PFC	ANVIK	53	MAIN_PO	AK
ALASKA PFC	BEAVER	53	MAIN_PO	AK
ALASKA PFC	BETTLES FIELD	53	MAIN_PO	AK
ALASKA PFC	CROOKED CREEK	53	MAIN_PO	AK
ALASKA PFC	EKWOK	53	MAIN_PO	AK
ALASKA PFC	HUGHES	53	MAIN_PO	AK
ALASKA PFC	KARLUK	53	MAIN_PO	AK
ALASKA PFC	KOBUK	53	MAIN_PO	AK
ALASKA PFC	KOYUKUK	53	MAIN_PO	AK
ALASKA PFC	LEVELOCK	53	MAIN_PO	AK
ALASKA PFC	PLATINUM	53	MAIN_PO	AK
ALASKA PFC	RED DEVIL	53	MAIN_PO	AK

District	Office	Level	FAC Type	State
ALASKA PFC	SLEETMUTE	53	MAIN_PO	AK
ALASKA PFC	STEVENS VILLAGE	53	MAIN_PO	AK
ALABAMA PFC	SUNFLOWER	53	MAIN_PO	AL
ALABAMA PFC	CAMPBELL	53	MAIN_PO	AL
ALABAMA PFC	CLINTON	53	MAIN_PO	AL
ALABAMA PFC	WEST GREENE	53	MAIN_PO	AL
ARKANSAS PFC	CROCKETTS BLUFF	53	MAIN_PO	AR
ARKANSAS PFC	DATTO	53	MAIN_PO	AR
ARKANSAS PFC	GREGORY	53	MAIN_PO	AR
ARKANSAS PFC	LA GRANGE	53	MAIN_PO	AR
ARKANSAS PFC	LAMBROOK	53	MAIN_PO	AR
ARKANSAS PFC	ONEIDA	53	MAIN_PO	AR
ARKANSAS PFC	ROSIE	53	MAIN_PO	AR
ARKANSAS PFC	SEDGWICK	53	MAIN_PO	AR
ARKANSAS PFC	THIDA	53	MAIN_PO	AR
ARKANSAS PFC	ALIX	53	MAIN_PO	AR
ARKANSAS PFC	HAGARVILLE	53	MAIN_PO	AR
ARKANSAS PFC	BEIRNE	53	MAIN_PO	AR
ARKANSAS PFC	REYDELL	53	MAIN_PO	AR
ARKANSAS PFC	SNOW LAKE	53	MAIN_PO	AR
ARKANSAS PFC	WHELEN SPRINGS	53	MAIN_PO	AR
SIERRA COASTAL PFC	DARWIN	53	MAIN_PO	CA
COLORADO/WYOMING PFC	BEDROCK	53	MAIN_PO	CO
COLORADO/WYOMING PFC	JAROSO	53	MAIN_PO	CO
COLORADO/WYOMING PFC	MEREDITH	53	MAIN_PO	CO
COLORADO/WYOMING PFC	SLATER	53	MAIN_PO	CO
COLORADO/WYOMING PFC	TOPONAS	53	MAIN_PO	CO
COLORADO/WYOMING PFC	TRINCHERA	53	MAIN_PO	CO
COLORADO/WYOMING PFC	ARLINGTON	53	MAIN_PO	CO
COLORADO/WYOMING PFC	HARTMAN	53	MAIN_PO	CO
COLORADO/WYOMING PFC	LINDON	53	MAIN_PO	CO
COLORADO/WYOMING PFC	PADRONI	53	MAIN_PO	CO
COLORADO/WYOMING PFC	WILD HORSE	53	MAIN_PO	CO
HAWKEYE PFC	KIRKVILLE	53	MAIN_PO	IA
HAWKEYE PFC	PLANO	53	MAIN_PO	IA
HAWKEYE PFC	SWEDESBURG	53	MAIN_PO	IA
HAWKEYE PFC	MARTINSBURG	53	MAIN_PO	IA
HAWKEYE PFC	THORNBURG	53	MAIN_PO	IA
HAWKEYE PFC	VINING	53	MAIN_PO	IA
HAWKEYE PFC	BARNUM	53	MAIN_PO	IA
HAWKEYE PFC	BOONEVILLE	53	MAIN_PO	IA
HAWKEYE PFC	BRADGATE	53	MAIN_PO	IA
HAWKEYE PFC	CLEMONS	53	MAIN_PO	IA
HAWKEYE PFC	GARDEN CITY	53	MAIN_PO	IA
HAWKEYE PFC	KNIERIM	53	MAIN_PO	IA
HAWKEYE PFC	LIBERTY CENTER	53	MAIN_PO	IA
HAWKEYE PFC	MACKSBURG	53	MAIN_PO	IA
CENTRAL PLAINS PFC	YORKTOWN	53	MAIN_PO	IA
HAWKEYE PFC	ARISPE	53	MAIN_PO	IA
HAWKEYE PFC	BENTON	53	MAIN_PO	IA
HAWKEYE PFC	GILLETT GROVE	53	MAIN_PO	IA

District	Office	Level	FAC Type	State
HAWKEYE PFC	OYENS	53	MAIN_PO	IA
HAWKEYE PFC	REDDING	53	MAIN_PO	IA
HAWKEYE PFC	SHANNON CITY	53	MAIN_PO	IA
HAWKEYE PFC	TRUESDALE	53	MAIN_PO	IA
HAWKEYE PFC	WIOTA	53	MAIN_PO	IA
SALT LAKE CITY PFC	ARBON	53	MAIN_PO	ID
SALT LAKE CITY PFC	GENEVA	53	MAIN_PO	ID
SALT LAKE CITY PFC	SWANLAKE	53	MAIN_PO	ID
CENTRAL ILLINOIS PFC	KASBEER	53	MAIN_PO	IL
GATEWAY PFC	MILLCREEK	53	MAIN_PO	IL
GATEWAY PFC	MILLER CITY	53	MAIN_PO	IL
GATEWAY PFC	PERKS	53	MAIN_PO	IL
CENTRAL ILLINOIS PFC	NEW BEDFORD	53	MAIN_PO	IL
CENTRAL ILLINOIS PFC	BLACKSTONE	53	MAIN_PO	IL
CENTRAL ILLINOIS PFC	CLAYTONVILLE	53	MAIN_PO	IL
CENTRAL ILLINOIS PFC	GOODWINE	53	MAIN_PO	IL
CENTRAL ILLINOIS PFC	STOCKLAND	53	MAIN_PO	IL
GATEWAY PFC	MURDOCK	53	MAIN_PO	IL
GATEWAY PFC	EAGARVILLE	53	MAIN_PO	IL
GATEWAY PFC	WRIGHTS	53	MAIN_PO	IL
HAWKEYE PFC	BUFFALO PRAIRIE	53	MAIN_PO	IL
CENTRAL ILLINOIS PFC	CASTLETON	53	MAIN_PO	IL
CENTRAL ILLINOIS PFC	LAWNDALE	53	MAIN_PO	IL
GATEWAY PFC	BLUFF SPRINGS	53	MAIN_PO	IL
GATEWAY PFC	CORNLAND	53	MAIN_PO	IL
GATEWAY PFC	LAKE FORK	53	MAIN_PO	IL
GATEWAY PFC	FIDELITY	53	MAIN_PO	IL
GREATER INDIANA PFC	ATHENS	53	MAIN_PO	IN
GREATER INDIANA PFC	CUTLER	53	MAIN_PO	IN
GREATER INDIANA PFC	DELONG	53	MAIN_PO	IN
GREATER INDIANA PFC	ALAMO	53	MAIN_PO	IN
GREATER INDIANA PFC	DEEDSVILLE	53	MAIN_PO	IN
GREATER INDIANA PFC	HOBBS	53	MAIN_PO	IN
GREATER INDIANA PFC	SERVIA	53	MAIN_PO	IN
GREATER INDIANA PFC	BENTONVILLE	53	MAIN_PO	IN
GREATER INDIANA PFC	GRAYSVILLE	53	MAIN_PO	IN
GREATER INDIANA PFC	MIDLAND	53	MAIN_PO	IN
GREATER INDIANA PFC	PIMENTO	53	MAIN_PO	IN
KENTUCKIANA PFC	BETHLEHEM	53	MAIN_PO	IN
GREATER INDIANA PFC	DERBY	53	MAIN_PO	IN
GREATER INDIANA PFC	LEOPOLD	53	MAIN_PO	IN
GREATER INDIANA PFC	LINCOLN CITY	53	MAIN_PO	IN
GREATER INDIANA PFC	PARIS CROSSING	53	MAIN_PO	IN
CENTRAL PLAINS PFC	ATHOL	53	MAIN_PO	KS
CENTRAL PLAINS PFC	BURDICK	53	MAIN_PO	KS
CENTRAL PLAINS PFC	CATHARINE	53	MAIN_PO	KS
CENTRAL PLAINS PFC	ELMDALE	53	MAIN_PO	KS
CENTRAL PLAINS PFC	FALUN	53	MAIN_PO	KS
CENTRAL PLAINS PFC	HUNTER	53	MAIN_PO	KS
CENTRAL PLAINS PFC	LONGFORD	53	MAIN_PO	KS
CENTRAL PLAINS PFC	MARIENTHAL	53	MAIN_PO	KS

District	Office	Level	FAC Type	State
CENTRAL PLAINS PFC	RANDALL	53	MAIN_PO	KS
CENTRAL PLAINS PFC	SIMPSON	53	MAIN_PO	KS
CENTRAL PLAINS PFC	WOODSTON	53	MAIN_PO	KS
CENTRAL PLAINS PFC	MORRILL	53	MAIN_PO	KS
CENTRAL PLAINS PFC	NETAWAKA	53	MAIN_PO	KS
CENTRAL PLAINS PFC	WAKARUSA	53	MAIN_PO	KS
MID-AMERICA PFC	CENTERVILLE	53	MAIN_PO	KS
MID-AMERICA PFC	CUMMINGS	53	MAIN_PO	KS
MID-AMERICA PFC	MAPLETON	53	MAIN_PO	KS
MID-AMERICA PFC	OPOLIS	53	MAIN_PO	KS
CENTRAL PLAINS PFC	BLUFF CITY	53	MAIN_PO	KS
CENTRAL PLAINS PFC	MAYFIELD	53	MAIN_PO	KS
KENTUCKIANA PFC	CANE VALLEY	53	MAIN_PO	KY
KENTUCKIANA PFC	GRADYVILLE	53	MAIN_PO	KY
KENTUCKIANA PFC	HAMPTON	53	MAIN_PO	KY
KENTUCKIANA PFC	HOLLAND	53	MAIN_PO	KY
KENTUCKIANA PFC	JETSON	53	MAIN_PO	KY
KENTUCKIANA PFC	KNOB LICK	53	MAIN_PO	KY
KENTUCKIANA PFC	WINDSOR	53	MAIN_PO	KY
KENTUCKIANA PFC	WOODBURY	53	MAIN_PO	KY
KENTUCKIANA PFC	MOUNT SHERMAN	53	MAIN_PO	KY
KENTUCKIANA PFC	WEST LOUISVILLE	53	MAIN_PO	KY
CINCINNATI PFC	ELIZAVILLE	53	MAIN_PO	KY
CINCINNATI PFC	MILFORD	53	MAIN_PO	KY
CINCINNATI PFC	PLUMMERS LANDING	53	MAIN_PO	KY
KENTUCKIANA PFC	CANNEL CITY	53	MAIN_PO	KY
KENTUCKIANA PFC	DELPHIA	53	MAIN_PO	KY
KENTUCKIANA PFC	FORDS BRANCH	53	MAIN_PO	KY
KENTUCKIANA PFC	LICK CREEK	53	MAIN_PO	KY
KENTUCKIANA PFC	MIRACLE	53	MAIN_PO	KY
KENTUCKIANA PFC	MIZE	53	MAIN_PO	KY
KENTUCKIANA PFC	SASSAFRAS	53	MAIN_PO	KY
KENTUCKIANA PFC	WILLIAMSPORT	53	MAIN_PO	KY
LOUISIANA PFC	FLORA	53	MAIN_PO	LA
LOUISIANA PFC	ACME	53	MAIN_PO	LA
LOUISIANA PFC	LONGLEAF	53	MAIN_PO	LA
BALTIMORE PFC	CROCHERON	53	MAIN_PO	MD
BALTIMORE PFC	TYLERTON	53	MAIN_PO	MD
CAPITAL PFC	IRONSIDES	53	MAIN_PO	MD
BALTIMORE PFC	LADIESBURG	53	MAIN_PO	MD
BALTIMORE PFC	NEW MIDWAY	53	MAIN_PO	MD
GREATER MICHIGAN PFC	CORNELL	53	MAIN_PO	MI
GREATER MICHIGAN PFC	NAHMA	53	MAIN_PO	MI
DAKOTAS PFC	BORUP	53	MAIN_PO	MN
DAKOTAS PFC	DONALDSON	53	MAIN_PO	MN
DAKOTAS PFC	EUCLID	53	MAIN_PO	MN
DAKOTAS PFC	KENT	53	MAIN_PO	MN
DAKOTAS PFC	NIELSVILLE	53	MAIN_PO	MN
DAKOTAS PFC	STRATHCONA	53	MAIN_PO	MN
NORTHLAND PFC	ALBERTA	53	MAIN_PO	MN
NORTHLAND PFC	ODESSA	53	MAIN_PO	MN

District	Office	Level	FAC Type	State
NORTHLAND PFC	BIRCHDALE	53	MAIN_PO	MN
NORTHLAND PFC	FORT RIPLEY	53	MAIN_PO	MN
MID-AMERICA PFC	ALDRICH	53	MAIN_PO	MO
MID-AMERICA PFC	FOSTER	53	MAIN_PO	MO
MID-AMERICA PFC	METZ	53	MAIN_PO	MO
MID-AMERICA PFC	MILO	53	MAIN_PO	MO
MID-AMERICA PFC	ALLENDAL	53	MAIN_PO	MO
MID-AMERICA PFC	CLYDE	53	MAIN_PO	MO
MID-AMERICA PFC	COFFEY	53	MAIN_PO	MO
MID-AMERICA PFC	DE WITT	53	MAIN_PO	MO
MID-AMERICA PFC	GUILFORD	53	MAIN_PO	MO
MID-AMERICA PFC	HUMPHREYS	53	MAIN_PO	MO
MID-AMERICA PFC	PARNELL	53	MAIN_PO	MO
MID-AMERICA PFC	PICKERING	53	MAIN_PO	MO
MID-AMERICA PFC	REA	53	MAIN_PO	MO
MID-AMERICA PFC	BRUNER	53	MAIN_PO	MO
MID-AMERICA PFC	PONCE DE LEON	53	MAIN_PO	MO
MID-AMERICA PFC	TIFF CITY	53	MAIN_PO	MO
MID-AMERICA PFC	BROWNWOOD	53	MAIN_PO	MO
MID-AMERICA PFC	BUCYRUS	53	MAIN_PO	MO
MID-AMERICA PFC	DAISY	53	MAIN_PO	MO
MID-AMERICA PFC	ELK CREEK	53	MAIN_PO	MO
MID-AMERICA PFC	GIBSON	53	MAIN_PO	MO
MID-AMERICA PFC	GRAYRIDGE	53	MAIN_PO	MO
MID-AMERICA PFC	HARDENVILLE	53	MAIN_PO	MO
MID-AMERICA PFC	KNOB LICK	53	MAIN_PO	MO
MID-AMERICA PFC	LAKE SPRING	53	MAIN_PO	MO
MID-AMERICA PFC	LOWNDES	53	MAIN_PO	MO
MID-AMERICA PFC	MACOMB	53	MAIN_PO	MO
MID-AMERICA PFC	PEACE VALLEY	53	MAIN_PO	MO
MID-AMERICA PFC	REYNOLDS	53	MAIN_PO	MO
MID-AMERICA PFC	STURDIVANT	53	MAIN_PO	MO
MID-AMERICA PFC	TIFF	53	MAIN_PO	MO
MID-AMERICA PFC	WHITEWATER	53	MAIN_PO	MO
MID-AMERICA PFC	ZANONI	53	MAIN_PO	MO
MID-AMERICA PFC	WOLF ISLAND	53	MAIN_PO	MO
GATEWAY PFC	ELMER	53	MAIN_PO	MO
GATEWAY PFC	SAINT PATRICK	53	MAIN_PO	MO
GATEWAY PFC	SANTA FE	53	MAIN_PO	MO
GATEWAY PFC	SAVERTON	53	MAIN_PO	MO
MID-AMERICA PFC	BRINKTOWN	53	MAIN_PO	MO
MID-AMERICA PFC	DAVISVILLE	53	MAIN_PO	MO
MID-AMERICA PFC	WESCO	53	MAIN_PO	MO
MID-AMERICA PFC	ROCKBRIDGE	53	MAIN_PO	MO
DAKOTAS PFC	ANGELA	53	MAIN_PO	MT
DAKOTAS PFC	BEARCREEK	53	MAIN_PO	MT
DAKOTAS PFC	BIRNEY	53	MAIN_PO	MT
DAKOTAS PFC	BOYES	53	MAIN_PO	MT
DAKOTAS PFC	BRUSETT	53	MAIN_PO	MT
DAKOTAS PFC	BUFFALO	53	MAIN_PO	MT
DAKOTAS PFC	COFFEE CREEK	53	MAIN_PO	MT

District	Office	Level	FAC Type	State
DAKOTAS PFC	COHAGEN	53	MAIN_PO	MT
DAKOTAS PFC	ETHRIDGE	53	MAIN_PO	MT
DAKOTAS PFC	GREYCLIFF	53	MAIN_PO	MT
DAKOTAS PFC	HAMMOND	53	MAIN_PO	MT
DAKOTAS PFC	HOGELAND	53	MAIN_PO	MT
DAKOTAS PFC	INGOMAR	53	MAIN_PO	MT
DAKOTAS PFC	LORING	53	MAIN_PO	MT
DAKOTAS PFC	MARYSVILLE	53	MAIN_PO	MT
DAKOTAS PFC	OTTER	53	MAIN_PO	MT
DAKOTAS PFC	RINGLING	53	MAIN_PO	MT
DAKOTAS PFC	SAND SPRINGS	53	MAIN_PO	MT
DAKOTAS PFC	WHITLASH	53	MAIN_PO	MT
DAKOTAS PFC	ZURICH	53	MAIN_PO	MT
DAKOTAS PFC	AMIDON	53	MAIN_PO	ND
DAKOTAS PFC	BALDWIN	53	MAIN_PO	ND
DAKOTAS PFC	BENEDICT	53	MAIN_PO	ND
DAKOTAS PFC	BROCKET	53	MAIN_PO	ND
DAKOTAS PFC	CLIFFORD	53	MAIN_PO	ND
DAKOTAS PFC	COGSWELL	53	MAIN_PO	ND
DAKOTAS PFC	DAZEY	53	MAIN_PO	ND
DAKOTAS PFC	ERIE	53	MAIN_PO	ND
DAKOTAS PFC	FORT RANSOM	53	MAIN_PO	ND
DAKOTAS PFC	GARDNER	53	MAIN_PO	ND
DAKOTAS PFC	GRACE CITY	53	MAIN_PO	ND
DAKOTAS PFC	GRASSY BUTTE	53	MAIN_PO	ND
DAKOTAS PFC	KENSAL	53	MAIN_PO	ND
DAKOTAS PFC	KRAMER	53	MAIN_PO	ND
DAKOTAS PFC	MAXBASS	53	MAIN_PO	ND
DAKOTAS PFC	MCGREGOR	53	MAIN_PO	ND
DAKOTAS PFC	MEKINOCK	53	MAIN_PO	ND
DAKOTAS PFC	NOME	53	MAIN_PO	ND
DAKOTAS PFC	OBERON	53	MAIN_PO	ND
DAKOTAS PFC	TOLLEY	53	MAIN_PO	ND
CENTRAL PLAINS PFC	DAWSON	53	MAIN_PO	NE
CENTRAL PLAINS PFC	MAGNET	53	MAIN_PO	NE
CENTRAL PLAINS PFC	WATERBURY	53	MAIN_PO	NE
CENTRAL PLAINS PFC	WINSLOW	53	MAIN_PO	NE
CENTRAL PLAINS PFC	MAX	53	MAIN_PO	NE
CENTRAL PLAINS PFC	SENECA	53	MAIN_PO	NE
CENTRAL PLAINS PFC	STOCKVILLE	53	MAIN_PO	NE
ARIZONA PFC	MALJAMAR	53	MAIN_PO	NM
ARIZONA PFC	MONTICELLO	53	MAIN_PO	NM
ARIZONA PFC	OROGRANDE	53	MAIN_PO	NM
ARIZONA PFC	PICACHO	53	MAIN_PO	NM
ARIZONA PFC	SUNSPOT	53	MAIN_PO	NM
ARIZONA PFC	TAIBAN	53	MAIN_PO	NM
ARIZONA PFC	YESO	53	MAIN_PO	NM
ARIZONA PFC	CAUSEY	53	MAIN_PO	NM
ARIZONA PFC	MILNESAND	53	MAIN_PO	NM
ARIZONA PFC	PEP	53	MAIN_PO	NM
ARIZONA PFC	PETACA	53	MAIN_PO	NM

District	Office	Level	FAC Type	State
NEVADA-SIERRA PFC	MANHATTAN	53	MAIN_PO	NV
ALBANY PFC	HOFFMEISTER	53	MAIN_PO	NY
ALBANY PFC	NORTH PITCHER	53	MAIN_PO	NY
ALBANY PFC	TUNNEL	53	MAIN_PO	NY
CINCINNATI PFC	CHILO	53	MAIN_PO	OH
CINCINNATI PFC	LYNX	53	MAIN_PO	OH
NORTHERN OHIO PFC	COLTON	53	MAIN_PO	OH
NORTHERN OHIO PFC	ELGIN	53	MAIN_PO	OH
CINCINNATI PFC	ROCK CAMP	53	MAIN_PO	OH
NORTHERN OHIO PFC	CAMERON	53	MAIN_PO	OH
OKLAHOMA PFC	BUNCH	53	MAIN_PO	OK
OKLAHOMA PFC	DAISY	53	MAIN_PO	OK
FORT WORTH PFC	KENTON	53	MAIN_PO	OK
OKLAHOMA PFC	ALBERT	53	MAIN_PO	OK
OKLAHOMA PFC	BISON	53	MAIN_PO	OK
PORTLAND PFC	AROCK	53	MAIN_PO	OR
PORTLAND PFC	HARPER	53	MAIN_PO	OR
PORTLAND PFC	HEREFORD	53	MAIN_PO	OR
PORTLAND PFC	JAMIESON	53	MAIN_PO	OR
PORTLAND PFC	POST	53	MAIN_PO	OR
PORTLAND PFC	RILEY	53	MAIN_PO	OR
PORTLAND PFC	SHANIKO	53	MAIN_PO	OR
PORTLAND PFC	SUMMER LAKE	53	MAIN_PO	OR
PORTLAND PFC	WESTFALL	53	MAIN_PO	OR
WESTERN PENNSYLVANIA PFC	ELGIN	53	MAIN_PO	PA
WESTERN PENNSYLVANIA PFC	CURLLSVILLE	53	MAIN_PO	PA
CENTRAL PENNSYLVANIA PFC	CONCORD	53	MAIN_PO	PA
WESTERN PENNSYLVANIA PFC	CHAMBERSVILLE	53	MAIN_PO	PA
CENTRAL PENNSYLVANIA PFC	SHUNK	53	MAIN_PO	PA
WESTERN PENNSYLVANIA PFC	GARARDS FORT	53	MAIN_PO	PA
DAKOTAS PFC	BARNARD	53	MAIN_PO	SD
DAKOTAS PFC	CARPENTER	53	MAIN_PO	SD
DAKOTAS PFC	DIMOCK	53	MAIN_PO	SD
DAKOTAS PFC	ENNING	53	MAIN_PO	SD
DAKOTAS PFC	GRENVILLE	53	MAIN_PO	SD
DAKOTAS PFC	MANSFIELD	53	MAIN_PO	SD
DAKOTAS PFC	MILESVILLE	53	MAIN_PO	SD
DAKOTAS PFC	REDIG	53	MAIN_PO	SD
DAKOTAS PFC	REVA	53	MAIN_PO	SD
DAKOTAS PFC	SCENIC	53	MAIN_PO	SD
DAKOTAS PFC	WHITE OWL	53	MAIN_PO	SD
DAKOTAS PFC	WINFRED	53	MAIN_PO	SD
TENNESSEE PFC	NORMANDY	53	MAIN_PO	TN
TENNESSEE PFC	QUEBECK	53	MAIN_PO	TN
TENNESSEE PFC	LACONIA	53	MAIN_PO	TN
TENNESSEE PFC	COMO	53	MAIN_PO	TN
TENNESSEE PFC	IDLEWILD	53	MAIN_PO	TN
DALLAS PFC	CUNNINGHAM	53	MAIN_PO	TX
DALLAS PFC	CENTRALIA	53	MAIN_PO	TX
FORT WORTH PFC	DOOLE	53	MAIN_PO	TX
FORT WORTH PFC	ENERGY	53	MAIN_PO	TX

District	Office	Level	FAC Type	State
FORT WORTH PFC	HEXT	53	MAIN_PO	TX
FORT WORTH PFC	LOWAKE	53	MAIN_PO	TX
RIO GRANDE PFC	PENWELL	53	MAIN_PO	TX
FORT WORTH PFC	DODSON	53	MAIN_PO	TX
FORT WORTH PFC	DOUGHERTY	53	MAIN_PO	TX
FORT WORTH PFC	QUAIL	53	MAIN_PO	TX
FORT WORTH PFC	ROSSTON	53	MAIN_PO	TX
FORT WORTH PFC	SOUTH PLAINS	53	MAIN_PO	TX
HOUSTON PFC	COLLEGEPORT	53	MAIN_PO	TX
HOUSTON PFC	DANCIGER	53	MAIN_PO	TX
RIO GRANDE PFC	MCFADDIN	53	MAIN_PO	TX
RIO GRANDE PFC	HOCHHEIM	53	MAIN_PO	TX
RIO GRANDE PFC	PANNA MARIA	53	MAIN_PO	TX
FORT WORTH PFC	PALUXY	53	MAIN_PO	TX
RIO GRANDE PFC	IRENE	53	MAIN_PO	TX
FORT WORTH PFC	ENOCHS	53	MAIN_PO	TX
FORT WORTH PFC	MAPLE	53	MAIN_PO	TX
FORT WORTH PFC	PEP	53	MAIN_PO	TX
RIO GRANDE PFC	LANGTRY	53	MAIN_PO	TX
RIO GRANDE PFC	ORLA	53	MAIN_PO	TX
RIO GRANDE PFC	PANDORA	53	MAIN_PO	TX
RIO GRANDE PFC	SATIN	53	MAIN_PO	TX
SALT LAKE CITY PFC	PARK VALLEY	53	MAIN_PO	UT
SALT LAKE CITY PFC	GARRISON	53	MAIN_PO	UT
RICHMOND PFC	SCHLEY	53	MAIN_PO	VA
RICHMOND PFC	MARIONVILLE	53	MAIN_PO	VA
RICHMOND PFC	MANNBORO	53	MAIN_PO	VA
APPALACHIAN PFC	LOWRY	53	MAIN_PO	VA
RICHMOND PFC	DOE HILL	53	MAIN_PO	VA
RICHMOND PFC	GLEN WILTON	53	MAIN_PO	VA
RICHMOND PFC	ORKNEY SPRINGS	53	MAIN_PO	VA
APPALACHIAN PFC	COLEMAN FALLS	53	MAIN_PO	VA
NORTHERN VIRGINIA PFC	ROCHELLE	53	MAIN_PO	VA
APPALACHIAN PFC	AMONATE	53	MAIN_PO	VA
APPALACHIAN PFC	PAINT BANK	53	MAIN_PO	VA
SEATTLE PFC	GIFFORD	53	MAIN_PO	WA
SEATTLE PFC	HOOPER	53	MAIN_PO	WA
APPALACHIAN PFC	RED CREEK	53	MAIN_PO	WV
APPALACHIAN PFC	SHOCK	53	MAIN_PO	WV
APPALACHIAN PFC	CAMDEN	53	MAIN_PO	WV
APPALACHIAN PFC	GAY	53	MAIN_PO	WV
APPALACHIAN PFC	GLEN	53	MAIN_PO	WV
APPALACHIAN PFC	LEFT HAND	53	MAIN_PO	WV
APPALACHIAN PFC	LORENTZ	53	MAIN_PO	WV
APPALACHIAN PFC	MILLSTONE	53	MAIN_PO	WV
APPALACHIAN PFC	ONEGO	53	MAIN_PO	WV
APPALACHIAN PFC	POND GAP	53	MAIN_PO	WV
APPALACHIAN PFC	WIDEN	53	MAIN_PO	WV
APPALACHIAN PFC	CUCUMBER	53	MAIN_PO	WV
APPALACHIAN PFC	HIAWATHA	53	MAIN_PO	WV
APPALACHIAN PFC	KIAHSVILLE	53	MAIN_PO	WV

District	Office	Level	FAC Type	State
APPALACHIAN PFC	KYLE	53	MAIN_PO	WV
APPALACHIAN PFC	SPURLOCKVILLE	53	MAIN_PO	WV
APPALACHIAN PFC	TIOGA	53	MAIN_PO	WV
APPALACHIAN PFC	WOLFCREEK	53	MAIN_PO	WV
APPALACHIAN PFC	WYCO	53	MAIN_PO	WV
APPALACHIAN PFC	SWITCHBACK	53	MAIN_PO	WV
APPALACHIAN PFC	BERGOO	53	MAIN_PO	WV
COLORADO/WYOMING PFC	ALVA	53	MAIN_PO	WY
COLORADO/WYOMING PFC	EMBLEM	53	MAIN_PO	WY
COLORADO/WYOMING PFC	HORSE CREEK	53	MAIN_PO	WY
COLORADO/WYOMING PFC	HUNTLEY	53	MAIN_PO	WY
COLORADO/WYOMING PFC	JAY EM	53	MAIN_PO	WY
COLORADO/WYOMING PFC	LEITER	53	MAIN_PO	WY
COLORADO/WYOMING PFC	LINCH	53	MAIN_PO	WY
COLORADO/WYOMING PFC	LOST SPRINGS	53	MAIN_PO	WY
COLORADO/WYOMING PFC	OTTO	53	MAIN_PO	WY
COLORADO/WYOMING PFC	PARKMAN	53	MAIN_PO	WY
COLORADO/WYOMING PFC	VAN TASSELL	53	MAIN_PO	WY
ALASKA PFC	CLARKS POINT	54	MAIN_PO	AK
CINCINNATI PFC	MASON	54	MAIN_PO	KY
CINCINNATI PFC	NEVILLE	54	MAIN_PO	OH
ALASKA PFC	MANLEY HOT SPRINGS	55	MAIN_PO	AK
ALASKA PFC	MINTO	55	MAIN_PO	AK
ALASKA PFC	NONDALTON	55	MAIN_PO	AK
ALASKA PFC	POINT BAKER	55	MAIN_PO	AK
ALASKA PFC	SHAGELUK	55	MAIN_PO	AK
ALASKA PFC	WALES	55	MAIN_PO	AK
ALASKA PFC	WHITE MOUNTAIN	55	MAIN_PO	AK
ALABAMA PFC	LITTLE RIVER	55	MAIN_PO	AL
ALABAMA PFC	TIBBIE	55	MAIN_PO	AL
ALABAMA PFC	BANKSTON	55	MAIN_PO	AL
ALABAMA PFC	NATURAL BRIDGE	55	MAIN_PO	AL
ALABAMA PFC	JEFFERSON	55	MAIN_PO	AL
ARKANSAS PFC	BURDETTE	55	MAIN_PO	AR
ARKANSAS PFC	COY	55	MAIN_PO	AR
ARKANSAS PFC	CRUMROD	55	MAIN_PO	AR
ARKANSAS PFC	EGYPT	55	MAIN_PO	AR
ARKANSAS PFC	ETHEL	55	MAIN_PO	AR
ARKANSAS PFC	ETOWAH	55	MAIN_PO	AR
ARKANSAS PFC	FRENCHMANS BAYOU	55	MAIN_PO	AR
ARKANSAS PFC	GILBERT	55	MAIN_PO	AR
ARKANSAS PFC	GUION	55	MAIN_PO	AR
ARKANSAS PFC	MARCELLA	55	MAIN_PO	AR
ARKANSAS PFC	MELLWOOD	55	MAIN_PO	AR
ARKANSAS PFC	MINTURN	55	MAIN_PO	AR
ARKANSAS PFC	O KEAN	55	MAIN_PO	AR
ARKANSAS PFC	STURKIE	55	MAIN_PO	AR
ARKANSAS PFC	TURNER	55	MAIN_PO	AR
ARKANSAS PFC	WEST RIDGE	55	MAIN_PO	AR
ARKANSAS PFC	WISEMAN	55	MAIN_PO	AR
ARKANSAS PFC	BLUFFTON	55	MAIN_PO	AR

District	Office	Level	FAC Type	State
ARKANSAS PFC	GRAVELLY	55	MAIN_PO	AR
ARKANSAS PFC	HICKORY PLAINS	55	MAIN_PO	AR
ARKANSAS PFC	SCOTLAND	55	MAIN_PO	AR
ARKANSAS PFC	WEST POINT	55	MAIN_PO	AR
ARKANSAS PFC	EVERTON	55	MAIN_PO	AR
ARKANSAS PFC	MORROW	55	MAIN_PO	AR
ARKANSAS PFC	PONCA	55	MAIN_PO	AR
ARKANSAS PFC	ALLEENE	55	MAIN_PO	AR
ARKANSAS PFC	BEN LOMOND	55	MAIN_PO	AR
ARKANSAS PFC	BLUE MOUNTAIN	55	MAIN_PO	AR
ARKANSAS PFC	COLUMBUS	55	MAIN_PO	AR
ARKANSAS PFC	CURTIS	55	MAIN_PO	AR
ARKANSAS PFC	IVAN	55	MAIN_PO	AR
ARKANSAS PFC	JERSEY	55	MAIN_PO	AR
ARKANSAS PFC	LANGLEY	55	MAIN_PO	AR
ARKANSAS PFC	MOSCOW	55	MAIN_PO	AR
ARKANSAS PFC	PICKENS	55	MAIN_PO	AR
ARKANSAS PFC	WILLISVILLE	55	MAIN_PO	AR
ARKANSAS PFC	YORKTOWN	55	MAIN_PO	AR
ARIZONA PFC	SASABE	55	MAIN_PO	AZ
ARIZONA PFC	TOPAWA	55	MAIN_PO	AZ
SACRAMENTO PFC	FORKS OF SALMON	55	MAIN_PO	CA
SACRAMENTO PFC	GOODYEARS BAR	55	MAIN_PO	CA
SACRAMENTO PFC	NUBIEBER	55	MAIN_PO	CA
NEVADA-SIERRA PFC	DAVIS CREEK	55	MAIN_PO	CA
NEVADA-SIERRA PFC	EAGLEVILLE	55	MAIN_PO	CA
SACRAMENTO PFC	HORNITOS	55	MAIN_PO	CA
COLORADO/WYOMING PFC	BOND	55	MAIN_PO	CO
COLORADO/WYOMING PFC	BRANSON	55	MAIN_PO	CO
COLORADO/WYOMING PFC	COWDREY	55	MAIN_PO	CO
COLORADO/WYOMING PFC	EGNAR	55	MAIN_PO	CO
COLORADO/WYOMING PFC	HOEHNE	55	MAIN_PO	CO
COLORADO/WYOMING PFC	LAZEAR	55	MAIN_PO	CO
COLORADO/WYOMING PFC	MODEL	55	MAIN_PO	CO
COLORADO/WYOMING PFC	PARADOX	55	MAIN_PO	CO
COLORADO/WYOMING PFC	POWDERHORN	55	MAIN_PO	CO
COLORADO/WYOMING PFC	HAMILTON	55	MAIN_PO	CO
COLORADO/WYOMING PFC	HASWELL	55	MAIN_PO	CO
COLORADO/WYOMING PFC	STONEHAM	55	MAIN_PO	CO
NORTH FLORIDA PFC	DAY	55	MAIN_PO	FL
HAWKEYE PFC	ANDOVER	55	MAIN_PO	IA
HAWKEYE PFC	SAINT DONATUS	55	MAIN_PO	IA
HAWKEYE PFC	SPRINGBROOK	55	MAIN_PO	IA
HAWKEYE PFC	ARGYLE	55	MAIN_PO	IA
HAWKEYE PFC	EXLINE	55	MAIN_PO	IA
HAWKEYE PFC	FLORIS	55	MAIN_PO	IA
HAWKEYE PFC	WELTON	55	MAIN_PO	IA
HAWKEYE PFC	ELBERON	55	MAIN_PO	IA
HAWKEYE PFC	GIBSON	55	MAIN_PO	IA
HAWKEYE PFC	HARVEY	55	MAIN_PO	IA
HAWKEYE PFC	STOUT	55	MAIN_PO	IA

District	Office	Level	FAC Type	State
HAWKEYE PFC	WATKINS	55	MAIN_PO	IA
HAWKEYE PFC	BEAVER	55	MAIN_PO	IA
HAWKEYE PFC	BRADFORD	55	MAIN_PO	IA
HAWKEYE PFC	BURNSIDE	55	MAIN_PO	IA
HAWKEYE PFC	DANA	55	MAIN_PO	IA
HAWKEYE PFC	DAWSON	55	MAIN_PO	IA
HAWKEYE PFC	DOLLIVER	55	MAIN_PO	IA
HAWKEYE PFC	HARDY	55	MAIN_PO	IA
HAWKEYE PFC	JOLLEY	55	MAIN_PO	IA
HAWKEYE PFC	WHITTEN	55	MAIN_PO	IA
CENTRAL PLAINS PFC	SHAMBAUGH	55	MAIN_PO	IA
HAWKEYE PFC	CALUMET	55	MAIN_PO	IA
HAWKEYE PFC	LANESBORO	55	MAIN_PO	IA
HAWKEYE PFC	LARRABEE	55	MAIN_PO	IA
HAWKEYE PFC	NEMAHA	55	MAIN_PO	IA
HAWKEYE PFC	RICKETTS	55	MAIN_PO	IA
HAWKEYE PFC	SUPERIOR	55	MAIN_PO	IA
SALT LAKE CITY PFC	OLA	55	MAIN_PO	ID
SALT LAKE CITY PFC	YELLOW PINE	55	MAIN_PO	ID
SEATTLE PFC	AVERY	55	MAIN_PO	ID
SEATTLE PFC	CALDER	55	MAIN_PO	ID
SEATTLE PFC	HARVARD	55	MAIN_PO	ID
SALT LAKE CITY PFC	ALMO	55	MAIN_PO	ID
SALT LAKE CITY PFC	ELLIS	55	MAIN_PO	ID
CENTRAL ILLINOIS PFC	DOVER	55	MAIN_PO	IL
CENTRAL ILLINOIS PFC	TRIUMPH	55	MAIN_PO	IL
CENTRAL ILLINOIS PFC	VAN ORIN	55	MAIN_PO	IL
GATEWAY PFC	JACOB	55	MAIN_PO	IL
GATEWAY PFC	RENAULT	55	MAIN_PO	IL
CENTRAL ILLINOIS PFC	LEE CENTER	55	MAIN_PO	IL
LAKELAND PFC	NACHUSA	55	MAIN_PO	IL
CENTRAL ILLINOIS PFC	PAPINEAU	55	MAIN_PO	IL
GATEWAY PFC	COLLISON	55	MAIN_PO	IL
LAKELAND PFC	KENT	55	MAIN_PO	IL
CENTRAL ILLINOIS PFC	FIATT	55	MAIN_PO	IL
CENTRAL ILLINOIS PFC	LIVERPOOL	55	MAIN_PO	IL
GATEWAY PFC	FERRIS	55	MAIN_PO	IL
GATEWAY PFC	LIMA	55	MAIN_PO	IL
GATEWAY PFC	PATTERSON	55	MAIN_PO	IL
GATEWAY PFC	TENNESSEE	55	MAIN_PO	IL
CENTRAL ILLINOIS PFC	CAMP GROVE	55	MAIN_PO	IL
CENTRAL ILLINOIS PFC	LA ROSE	55	MAIN_PO	IL
GATEWAY PFC	CAMDEN	55	MAIN_PO	IL
GATEWAY PFC	NEW SALEM	55	MAIN_PO	IL
GATEWAY PFC	HUEY	55	MAIN_PO	IL
GATEWAY PFC	MAUNIE	55	MAIN_PO	IL
GATEWAY PFC	MUDDY	55	MAIN_PO	IL
GATEWAY PFC	OHLMAN	55	MAIN_PO	IL
GATEWAY PFC	RINARD	55	MAIN_PO	IL
GREATER INDIANA PFC	MOUNT AYR	55	MAIN_PO	IN
GREATER INDIANA PFC	LAKE CICOTT	55	MAIN_PO	IN

District	Office	Level	FAC Type	State
GREATER INDIANA PFC	ROCKFIELD	55	MAIN_PO	IN
GREATER INDIANA PFC	TIPPECANOE	55	MAIN_PO	IN
GREATER INDIANA PFC	YEOMAN	55	MAIN_PO	IN
GREATER INDIANA PFC	TYNER	55	MAIN_PO	IN
GREATER INDIANA PFC	SEDALIA	55	MAIN_PO	IN
GREATER INDIANA PFC	BOGGSTOWN	55	MAIN_PO	IN
GREATER INDIANA PFC	LIBERTY CENTER	55	MAIN_PO	IN
GREATER INDIANA PFC	PETROLEUM	55	MAIN_PO	IN
GREATER INDIANA PFC	PLEASANT MILLS	55	MAIN_PO	IN
KENTUCKIANA PFC	MACKAY	55	MAIN_PO	IN
GREATER INDIANA PFC	FAIRBANKS	55	MAIN_PO	IN
GREATER INDIANA PFC	SHEPARDVILLE	55	MAIN_PO	IN
GREATER INDIANA PFC	SPURGEON	55	MAIN_PO	IN
CINCINNATI PFC	PIERCEVILLE	55	MAIN_PO	IN
KENTUCKIANA PFC	BRADFORD	55	MAIN_PO	IN
GREATER INDIANA PFC	MARIAH HILL	55	MAIN_PO	IN
CENTRAL PLAINS PFC	ADMIRE	55	MAIN_PO	KS
CENTRAL PLAINS PFC	AURORA	55	MAIN_PO	KS
CENTRAL PLAINS PFC	DAMAR	55	MAIN_PO	KS
CENTRAL PLAINS PFC	DURHAM	55	MAIN_PO	KS
CENTRAL PLAINS PFC	EDSON	55	MAIN_PO	KS
CENTRAL PLAINS PFC	ENGLEWOOD	55	MAIN_PO	KS
CENTRAL PLAINS PFC	ISABEL	55	MAIN_PO	KS
CENTRAL PLAINS PFC	KENDALL	55	MAIN_PO	KS
CENTRAL PLAINS PFC	LOST SPRINGS	55	MAIN_PO	KS
CENTRAL PLAINS PFC	MORGANVILLE	55	MAIN_PO	KS
CENTRAL PLAINS PFC	MUNDEN	55	MAIN_PO	KS
CENTRAL PLAINS PFC	PARADISE	55	MAIN_PO	KS
CENTRAL PLAINS PFC	PRAIRIE VIEW	55	MAIN_PO	KS
CENTRAL PLAINS PFC	RAYMOND	55	MAIN_PO	KS
CENTRAL PLAINS PFC	RICHFIELD	55	MAIN_PO	KS
CENTRAL PLAINS PFC	ROXBURY	55	MAIN_PO	KS
CENTRAL PLAINS PFC	SUN CITY	55	MAIN_PO	KS
CENTRAL PLAINS PFC	TALMAGE	55	MAIN_PO	KS
CENTRAL PLAINS PFC	WEBBER	55	MAIN_PO	KS
CENTRAL PLAINS PFC	CIRCLEVILLE	55	MAIN_PO	KS
CENTRAL PLAINS PFC	DENISON	55	MAIN_PO	KS
CENTRAL PLAINS PFC	DOVER	55	MAIN_PO	KS
CENTRAL PLAINS PFC	GRANTVILLE	55	MAIN_PO	KS
CENTRAL PLAINS PFC	POWHATTAN	55	MAIN_PO	KS
MID-AMERICA PFC	BENDENA	55	MAIN_PO	KS
MID-AMERICA PFC	CRESTLINE	55	MAIN_PO	KS
MID-AMERICA PFC	HEPLER	55	MAIN_PO	KS
MID-AMERICA PFC	MUSCOTAH	55	MAIN_PO	KS
MID-AMERICA PFC	PIQUA	55	MAIN_PO	KS
MID-AMERICA PFC	WELDA	55	MAIN_PO	KS
CENTRAL PLAINS PFC	CHAUTAUQUA	55	MAIN_PO	KS
CENTRAL PLAINS PFC	LATHAM	55	MAIN_PO	KS
CENTRAL PLAINS PFC	ROCK	55	MAIN_PO	KS
CENTRAL PLAINS PFC	ZENDA	55	MAIN_PO	KS
KENTUCKIANA PFC	ALPHA	55	MAIN_PO	KY

District	Office	Level	FAC Type	State
KENTUCKIANA PFC	BASKETT	55	MAIN_PO	KY
KENTUCKIANA PFC	DUNBAR	55	MAIN_PO	KY
KENTUCKIANA PFC	LYNNVILLE	55	MAIN_PO	KY
KENTUCKIANA PFC	SULLIVAN	55	MAIN_PO	KY
KENTUCKIANA PFC	MACKVILLE	55	MAIN_PO	KY
KENTUCKIANA PFC	MC QUADY	55	MAIN_PO	KY
KENTUCKIANA PFC	WHITE MILLS	55	MAIN_PO	KY
CINCINNATI PFC	JONESVILLE	55	MAIN_PO	KY
CINCINNATI PFC	KENTON	55	MAIN_PO	KY
CINCINNATI PFC	MUSES MILLS	55	MAIN_PO	KY
KENTUCKIANA PFC	BOONS CAMP	55	MAIN_PO	KY
KENTUCKIANA PFC	CLAYHOLE	55	MAIN_PO	KY
KENTUCKIANA PFC	HIMA	55	MAIN_PO	KY
KENTUCKIANA PFC	MALONE	55	MAIN_PO	KY
KENTUCKIANA PFC	MARTHA	55	MAIN_PO	KY
KENTUCKIANA PFC	TOTZ	55	MAIN_PO	KY
KENTUCKIANA PFC	VINCENT	55	MAIN_PO	KY
KENTUCKIANA PFC	WILDIE	55	MAIN_PO	KY
LOUISIANA PFC	GORUM	55	MAIN_PO	LA
LOUISIANA PFC	ATLANTA	55	MAIN_PO	LA
LOUISIANA PFC	CHASE	55	MAIN_PO	LA
LOUISIANA PFC	RHINEHART	55	MAIN_PO	LA
CONNECTICUT VALLEY PFC	LAKE PLEASANT	55	MAIN_PO	MA
CONNECTICUT VALLEY PFC	CUTTYHUNK	55	MAIN_PO	MA
CAPITAL PFC	HELEN	55	MAIN_PO	MD
BALTIMORE PFC	BITTINGER	55	MAIN_PO	MD
BALTIMORE PFC	TUSCARORA	55	MAIN_PO	MD
NORTHERN NEW ENGLAND PFC	BOWDOIN	55	MAIN_PO	ME
NORTHERN NEW ENGLAND PFC	EAST ANDOVER	55	MAIN_PO	ME
NORTHERN NEW ENGLAND PFC	SANDY POINT	55	MAIN_PO	ME
GREATER MICHIGAN PFC	INGALLS	55	MAIN_PO	MI
GREATER MICHIGAN PFC	NADEAU	55	MAIN_PO	MI
GREATER MICHIGAN PFC	POINTE AUX PINS	55	MAIN_PO	MI
GREATER MICHIGAN PFC	SKANEE	55	MAIN_PO	MI
GREATER MICHIGAN PFC	ELM HALL	55	MAIN_PO	MI
GREATER MICHIGAN PFC	HENDERSON	55	MAIN_PO	MI
GREATER MICHIGAN PFC	NORTH STAR	55	MAIN_PO	MI
DETROIT PFC	MOSHERVILLE	55	MAIN_PO	MI
NORTHLAND PFC	AVOCA	55	MAIN_PO	MN
NORTHLAND PFC	CONGER	55	MAIN_PO	MN
NORTHLAND PFC	DARFUR	55	MAIN_PO	MN
NORTHLAND PFC	IONA	55	MAIN_PO	MN
NORTHLAND PFC	WALTHAM	55	MAIN_PO	MN
DAKOTAS PFC	PERLEY	55	MAIN_PO	MN
DAKOTAS PFC	VINING	55	MAIN_PO	MN
DAKOTAS PFC	WANNASKA	55	MAIN_PO	MN
NORTHLAND PFC	HOLLOWAY	55	MAIN_PO	MN
NORTHLAND PFC	PORTER	55	MAIN_PO	MN
NORTHLAND PFC	WASKISH	55	MAIN_PO	MN
NORTHLAND PFC	WATSON	55	MAIN_PO	MN
NORTHLAND PFC	FLENSBURG	55	MAIN_PO	MN

District	Office	Level	FAC Type	State
GATEWAY PFC	WHITESIDE	55	MAIN_PO	MO
GATEWAY PFC	MC GIRK	55	MAIN_PO	MO
MID-AMERICA PFC	HARWOOD	55	MAIN_PO	MO
MID-AMERICA PFC	ROSCOE	55	MAIN_PO	MO
GATEWAY PFC	GLENWOOD	55	MAIN_PO	MO
GATEWAY PFC	LIVONIA	55	MAIN_PO	MO
GATEWAY PFC	POLLOCK	55	MAIN_PO	MO
GATEWAY PFC	WINIGAN	55	MAIN_PO	MO
MID-AMERICA PFC	AMITY	55	MAIN_PO	MO
MID-AMERICA PFC	COSBY	55	MAIN_PO	MO
MID-AMERICA PFC	DENVER	55	MAIN_PO	MO
MID-AMERICA PFC	GENTRY	55	MAIN_PO	MO
MID-AMERICA PFC	GRAHAM	55	MAIN_PO	MO
MID-AMERICA PFC	LEVASY	55	MAIN_PO	MO
MID-AMERICA PFC	MOORESVILLE	55	MAIN_PO	MO
MID-AMERICA PFC	TURNER	55	MAIN_PO	MO
MID-AMERICA PFC	AVILLA	55	MAIN_PO	MO
MID-AMERICA PFC	NECK CITY	55	MAIN_PO	MO
MID-AMERICA PFC	DUTCHTOWN	55	MAIN_PO	MO
MID-AMERICA PFC	HARVIELL	55	MAIN_PO	MO
MID-AMERICA PFC	HUGGINS	55	MAIN_PO	MO
MID-AMERICA PFC	JADWIN	55	MAIN_PO	MO
MID-AMERICA PFC	OXLY	55	MAIN_PO	MO
MID-AMERICA PFC	PERKINS	55	MAIN_PO	MO
MID-AMERICA PFC	SUCCESS	55	MAIN_PO	MO
MID-AMERICA PFC	TALLAPOOSA	55	MAIN_PO	MO
MID-AMERICA PFC	WHITEOAK	55	MAIN_PO	MO
GATEWAY PFC	WOOLDRIDGE	55	MAIN_PO	MO
MISSISSIPPI PFC	PANTHER BURN	55	MAIN_PO	MS
MISSISSIPPI PFC	VALLEY PARK	55	MAIN_PO	MS
MISSISSIPPI PFC	CHATAWA	55	MAIN_PO	MS
DAKOTAS PFC	ALZADA	55	MAIN_PO	MT
DAKOTAS PFC	BIDDLE	55	MAIN_PO	MT
DAKOTAS PFC	BIGHORN	55	MAIN_PO	MT
DAKOTAS PFC	BLOOMFIELD	55	MAIN_PO	MT
DAKOTAS PFC	BROCKWAY	55	MAIN_PO	MT
DAKOTAS PFC	BYNUM	55	MAIN_PO	MT
DAKOTAS PFC	DAGMAR	55	MAIN_PO	MT
DAKOTAS PFC	DECKER	55	MAIN_PO	MT
DAKOTAS PFC	DUPUYER	55	MAIN_PO	MT
DAKOTAS PFC	GALATA	55	MAIN_PO	MT
DAKOTAS PFC	JACKSON	55	MAIN_PO	MT
DAKOTAS PFC	LINDSAY	55	MAIN_PO	MT
DAKOTAS PFC	MELVILLE	55	MAIN_PO	MT
DAKOTAS PFC	MUSSELSHELL	55	MAIN_PO	MT
DAKOTAS PFC	NEIHART	55	MAIN_PO	MT
DAKOTAS PFC	NORRIS	55	MAIN_PO	MT
DAKOTAS PFC	PENDROY	55	MAIN_PO	MT
DAKOTAS PFC	RAPELJE	55	MAIN_PO	MT
DAKOTAS PFC	RAYNESFORD	55	MAIN_PO	MT
DAKOTAS PFC	SAINT XAVIER	55	MAIN_PO	MT

District	Office	Level	FAC Type	State
DAKOTAS PFC	STRYKER	55	MAIN_PO	MT
DAKOTAS PFC	TWO DOT	55	MAIN_PO	MT
DAKOTAS PFC	VIDA	55	MAIN_PO	MT
DAKOTAS PFC	WHITETAIL	55	MAIN_PO	MT
DAKOTAS PFC	WHITEWATER	55	MAIN_PO	MT
DAKOTAS PFC	ZORTMAN	55	MAIN_PO	MT
GREENSBORO PFC	RODUKO	55	MAIN_PO	NC
DAKOTAS PFC	ALMONT	55	MAIN_PO	ND
DAKOTAS PFC	ARNEGARD	55	MAIN_PO	ND
DAKOTAS PFC	DODGE	55	MAIN_PO	ND
DAKOTAS PFC	EPPING	55	MAIN_PO	ND
DAKOTAS PFC	FORTUNA	55	MAIN_PO	ND
DAKOTAS PFC	HAGUE	55	MAIN_PO	ND
DAKOTAS PFC	INKSTER	55	MAIN_PO	ND
DAKOTAS PFC	KARLSRUHE	55	MAIN_PO	ND
DAKOTAS PFC	LANKIN	55	MAIN_PO	ND
DAKOTAS PFC	MANTADOR	55	MAIN_PO	ND
DAKOTAS PFC	MERCER	55	MAIN_PO	ND
DAKOTAS PFC	MOUNTAIN	55	MAIN_PO	ND
DAKOTAS PFC	MYLO	55	MAIN_PO	ND
DAKOTAS PFC	PETTIBONE	55	MAIN_PO	ND
DAKOTAS PFC	ROSEGLIN	55	MAIN_PO	ND
DAKOTAS PFC	SHARON	55	MAIN_PO	ND
DAKOTAS PFC	TUTTLE	55	MAIN_PO	ND
DAKOTAS PFC	WILDROSE	55	MAIN_PO	ND
CENTRAL PLAINS PFC	BELDEN	55	MAIN_PO	NE
CENTRAL PLAINS PFC	DAVEY	55	MAIN_PO	NE
CENTRAL PLAINS PFC	DU BOIS	55	MAIN_PO	NE
CENTRAL PLAINS PFC	MANLEY	55	MAIN_PO	NE
CENTRAL PLAINS PFC	ANGORA	55	MAIN_PO	NE
CENTRAL PLAINS PFC	DEWEESE	55	MAIN_PO	NE
CENTRAL PLAINS PFC	ELLSWORTH	55	MAIN_PO	NE
CENTRAL PLAINS PFC	ENDERS	55	MAIN_PO	NE
CENTRAL PLAINS PFC	LAKESIDE	55	MAIN_PO	NE
CENTRAL PLAINS PFC	MELBETA	55	MAIN_PO	NE
CENTRAL PLAINS PFC	PURDUM	55	MAIN_PO	NE
CENTRAL PLAINS PFC	SAINT LIBORY	55	MAIN_PO	NE
NORTHERN NEW ENGLAND PFC	LYME CENTER	55	MAIN_PO	NH
ARIZONA PFC	GLENCOE	55	MAIN_PO	NM
ARIZONA PFC	MULE CREEK	55	MAIN_PO	NM
ARIZONA PFC	NEWKIRK	55	MAIN_PO	NM
ARIZONA PFC	PINON	55	MAIN_PO	NM
ARIZONA PFC	SACRAMENTO	55	MAIN_PO	NM
ARIZONA PFC	AMISTAD	55	MAIN_PO	NM
ARIZONA PFC	DORA	55	MAIN_PO	NM
ARIZONA PFC	GARITA	55	MAIN_PO	NM
ARIZONA PFC	SOLANO	55	MAIN_PO	NM
ARIZONA PFC	VALLECITOS	55	MAIN_PO	NM
ALBANY PFC	NORTH HOOSICK	55	MAIN_PO	NY
ALBANY PFC	ALCOVE	55	MAIN_PO	NY
ALBANY PFC	KILLAWOG	55	MAIN_PO	NY

District	Office	Level	FAC Type	State
WESTCHESTER PFC	OBERNBURG	55	MAIN_PO	NY
ALBANY PFC	NEW RUSSIA	55	MAIN_PO	NY
ALBANY PFC	PYRITES	55	MAIN_PO	NY
WESTERN NEW YORK PFC	JAVA VILLAGE	55	MAIN_PO	NY
WESTERN NEW YORK PFC	LIVONIA CENTER	55	MAIN_PO	NY
WESTERN NEW YORK PFC	MORTON	55	MAIN_PO	NY
WESTERN NEW YORK PFC	CENTERVILLE	55	MAIN_PO	NY
CINCINNATI PFC	LEES CREEK	55	MAIN_PO	OH
CINCINNATI PFC	CABLE	55	MAIN_PO	OH
NORTHERN OHIO PFC	NEW HAMPSHIRE	55	MAIN_PO	OH
NORTHERN OHIO PFC	SAINT JOHNS	55	MAIN_PO	OH
NORTHERN OHIO PFC	FARMER	55	MAIN_PO	OH
NORTHERN OHIO PFC	ALLEDONIA	55	MAIN_PO	OH
NORTHERN OHIO PFC	WOLF RUN	55	MAIN_PO	OH
CINCINNATI PFC	MILLEDGEVILLE	55	MAIN_PO	OH
CINCINNATI PFC	POTSDAM	55	MAIN_PO	OH
NORTHERN OHIO PFC	WAYLAND	55	MAIN_PO	OH
NORTHERN OHIO PFC	DEERSVILLE	55	MAIN_PO	OH
OKLAHOMA PFC	ATWOOD	55	MAIN_PO	OK
OKLAHOMA PFC	EUCHA	55	MAIN_PO	OK
OKLAHOMA PFC	FANSHAW	55	MAIN_PO	OK
OKLAHOMA PFC	PLATTER	55	MAIN_PO	OK
OKLAHOMA PFC	SNOW	55	MAIN_PO	OK
OKLAHOMA PFC	SWINK	55	MAIN_PO	OK
FORT WORTH PFC	ADAMS	55	MAIN_PO	OK
OKLAHOMA PFC	AMORITA	55	MAIN_PO	OK
OKLAHOMA PFC	COLONY	55	MAIN_PO	OK
OKLAHOMA PFC	ELMER	55	MAIN_PO	OK
OKLAHOMA PFC	GOTEBO	55	MAIN_PO	OK
OKLAHOMA PFC	GREENFIELD	55	MAIN_PO	OK
OKLAHOMA PFC	HILLSDALE	55	MAIN_PO	OK
OKLAHOMA PFC	MAY	55	MAIN_PO	OK
OKLAHOMA PFC	MILFAY	55	MAIN_PO	OK
OKLAHOMA PFC	VINSON	55	MAIN_PO	OK
OKLAHOMA PFC	ADDINGTON	55	MAIN_PO	OK
OKLAHOMA PFC	COUNTYLINE	55	MAIN_PO	OK
OKLAHOMA PFC	TATUMS	55	MAIN_PO	OK
OKLAHOMA PFC	SAINT LOUIS	55	MAIN_PO	OK
PORTLAND PFC	ADEL	55	MAIN_PO	OR
PORTLAND PFC	BROTHERS	55	MAIN_PO	OR
PORTLAND PFC	IMNAHA	55	MAIN_PO	OR
PORTLAND PFC	JUNTURA	55	MAIN_PO	OR
PORTLAND PFC	KENT	55	MAIN_PO	OR
PORTLAND PFC	KIMBERLY	55	MAIN_PO	OR
PORTLAND PFC	OXBOW	55	MAIN_PO	OR
PORTLAND PFC	PAULINA	55	MAIN_PO	OR
PORTLAND PFC	AGNESS	55	MAIN_PO	OR
PORTLAND PFC	CASCADIA	55	MAIN_PO	OR
WESTERN PENNSYLVANIA PFC	CHANDLERS VALLEY	55	MAIN_PO	PA
CENTRAL PENNSYLVANIA PFC	SLATE RUN	55	MAIN_PO	PA
CENTRAL PENNSYLVANIA PFC	WESTPORT	55	MAIN_PO	PA

District	Office	Level	FAC Type	State
WESTERN PENNSYLVANIA PFC	FISHER	55	MAIN_PO	PA
WESTERN PENNSYLVANIA PFC	HAMILTON	55	MAIN_PO	PA
WESTERN PENNSYLVANIA PFC	KOSSUTH	55	MAIN_PO	PA
WESTERN PENNSYLVANIA PFC	LIMESTONE	55	MAIN_PO	PA
WESTERN PENNSYLVANIA PFC	SHAWVILLE	55	MAIN_PO	PA
WESTERN PENNSYLVANIA PFC	TIMBLIN	55	MAIN_PO	PA
WESTERN PENNSYLVANIA PFC	VALIER	55	MAIN_PO	PA
CENTRAL PENNSYLVANIA PFC	LURGAN	55	MAIN_PO	PA
CENTRAL PENNSYLVANIA PFC	NEELYTON	55	MAIN_PO	PA
WESTERN PENNSYLVANIA PFC	ARCADIA	55	MAIN_PO	PA
WESTERN PENNSYLVANIA PFC	BOYNTON	55	MAIN_PO	PA
WESTERN PENNSYLVANIA PFC	CLUNE	55	MAIN_PO	PA
WESTERN PENNSYLVANIA PFC	GIPSY	55	MAIN_PO	PA
WESTERN PENNSYLVANIA PFC	LAKE LYNN	55	MAIN_PO	PA
WESTERN PENNSYLVANIA PFC	NEW BALTIMORE	55	MAIN_PO	PA
WESTERN PENNSYLVANIA PFC	STARFORD	55	MAIN_PO	PA
WESTERN PENNSYLVANIA PFC	WEST LEBANON	55	MAIN_PO	PA
CENTRAL PENNSYLVANIA PFC	BROOKLYN	55	MAIN_PO	PA
CENTRAL PENNSYLVANIA PFC	GIBSON	55	MAIN_PO	PA
CENTRAL PENNSYLVANIA PFC	GROVER	55	MAIN_PO	PA
CENTRAL PENNSYLVANIA PFC	LAIRDSVILLE	55	MAIN_PO	PA
CENTRAL PENNSYLVANIA PFC	POYNTELLE	55	MAIN_PO	PA
CENTRAL PENNSYLVANIA PFC	REBUCK	55	MAIN_PO	PA
CENTRAL PENNSYLVANIA PFC	TROXELVILLE	55	MAIN_PO	PA
CENTRAL PENNSYLVANIA PFC	WEIKERT	55	MAIN_PO	PA
CENTRAL PENNSYLVANIA PFC	CAMBRA	55	MAIN_PO	PA
CENTRAL PENNSYLVANIA PFC	JUNEDALE	55	MAIN_PO	PA
WESTERN PENNSYLVANIA PFC	ISABELLA	55	MAIN_PO	PA
WESTERN PENNSYLVANIA PFC	MARTIN	55	MAIN_PO	PA
WESTERN PENNSYLVANIA PFC	NINEVEH	55	MAIN_PO	PA
WESTERN PENNSYLVANIA PFC	SCHENLEY	55	MAIN_PO	PA
WESTERN PENNSYLVANIA PFC	SOUTHVIEW	55	MAIN_PO	PA
NORTH FLORIDA PFC	SYCAMORE	55	MAIN_PO	SC
GREATER SOUTH CAROLIN PFC	PEAK	55	MAIN_PO	SC
DAKOTAS PFC	AGAR	55	MAIN_PO	SD
DAKOTAS PFC	ASHTON	55	MAIN_PO	SD
DAKOTAS PFC	BRENTFORD	55	MAIN_PO	SD
DAKOTAS PFC	CAPUTA	55	MAIN_PO	SD
DAKOTAS PFC	DAVIS	55	MAIN_PO	SD
DAKOTAS PFC	EDEN	55	MAIN_PO	SD
DAKOTAS PFC	FULTON	55	MAIN_PO	SD
DAKOTAS PFC	GANN VALLEY	55	MAIN_PO	SD
DAKOTAS PFC	GLENHAM	55	MAIN_PO	SD
DAKOTAS PFC	GOODWIN	55	MAIN_PO	SD
DAKOTAS PFC	HAMILL	55	MAIN_PO	SD
DAKOTAS PFC	HOWES	55	MAIN_PO	SD
DAKOTAS PFC	KRANZBURG	55	MAIN_PO	SD
DAKOTAS PFC	LAKE CITY	55	MAIN_PO	SD
DAKOTAS PFC	LANTRY	55	MAIN_PO	SD
DAKOTAS PFC	MARVIN	55	MAIN_PO	SD
DAKOTAS PFC	OKREEK	55	MAIN_PO	SD

District	Office	Level	FAC Type	State
DAKOTAS PFC	OLIVET	55	MAIN_PO	SD
DAKOTAS PFC	RAYMOND	55	MAIN_PO	SD
DAKOTAS PFC	REE HEIGHTS	55	MAIN_PO	SD
DAKOTAS PFC	SENECA	55	MAIN_PO	SD
DAKOTAS PFC	SINAI	55	MAIN_PO	SD
DAKOTAS PFC	SPENCER	55	MAIN_PO	SD
DAKOTAS PFC	TURTON	55	MAIN_PO	SD
DAKOTAS PFC	VOLIN	55	MAIN_PO	SD
DAKOTAS PFC	WALLACE	55	MAIN_PO	SD
DAKOTAS PFC	WESTPORT	55	MAIN_PO	SD
DAKOTAS PFC	WOOD	55	MAIN_PO	SD
TENNESSEE PFC	WILDER	55	MAIN_PO	TN
TENNESSEE PFC	CRAWFORD	55	MAIN_PO	TN
TENNESSEE PFC	MILTON	55	MAIN_PO	TN
TENNESSEE PFC	MITCHELLVILLE	55	MAIN_PO	TN
TENNESSEE PFC	EATON	55	MAIN_PO	TN
TENNESSEE PFC	LENOX	55	MAIN_PO	TN
HOUSTON PFC	CONCORD	55	MAIN_PO	TX
DALLAS PFC	GOBER	55	MAIN_PO	TX
DALLAS PFC	KILDARE	55	MAIN_PO	TX
DALLAS PFC	CUNEY	55	MAIN_PO	TX
HOUSTON PFC	CHRIESMAN	55	MAIN_PO	TX
FORT WORTH PFC	ART	55	MAIN_PO	TX
FORT WORTH PFC	BARNHART	55	MAIN_PO	TX
FORT WORTH PFC	CASTELL	55	MAIN_PO	TX
FORT WORTH PFC	FREDONIA	55	MAIN_PO	TX
FORT WORTH PFC	MERETA	55	MAIN_PO	TX
FORT WORTH PFC	ROCKWOOD	55	MAIN_PO	TX
FORT WORTH PFC	ROOSEVELT	55	MAIN_PO	TX
FORT WORTH PFC	STAR	55	MAIN_PO	TX
FORT WORTH PFC	TENNYSON	55	MAIN_PO	TX
FORT WORTH PFC	VANCOURT	55	MAIN_PO	TX
FORT WORTH PFC	VOCA	55	MAIN_PO	TX
RIO GRANDE PFC	MENTONE	55	MAIN_PO	TX
RIO GRANDE PFC	TARZAN	55	MAIN_PO	TX
RIO GRANDE PFC	WILLOW CITY	55	MAIN_PO	TX
FORT WORTH PFC	GREENWOOD	55	MAIN_PO	TX
FORT WORTH PFC	BLUEGROVE	55	MAIN_PO	TX
FORT WORTH PFC	ESTELLINE	55	MAIN_PO	TX
FORT WORTH PFC	FARNSWORTH	55	MAIN_PO	TX
FORT WORTH PFC	GOREE	55	MAIN_PO	TX
FORT WORTH PFC	HARROLD	55	MAIN_PO	TX
FORT WORTH PFC	JERMYN	55	MAIN_PO	TX
FORT WORTH PFC	LIPSCOMB	55	MAIN_PO	TX
FORT WORTH PFC	MCADOO	55	MAIN_PO	TX
FORT WORTH PFC	OKLAUNION	55	MAIN_PO	TX
FORT WORTH PFC	OLD GLORY	55	MAIN_PO	TX
FORT WORTH PFC	SPADE	55	MAIN_PO	TX
HOUSTON PFC	LISSIE	55	MAIN_PO	TX
HOUSTON PFC	PIERCE	55	MAIN_PO	TX
RIO GRANDE PFC	LA SALLE	55	MAIN_PO	TX

District	Office	Level	FAC Type	State
RIO GRANDE PFC	THOMASTON	55	MAIN_PO	TX
RIO GRANDE PFC	WHITSETT	55	MAIN_PO	TX
RIO GRANDE PFC	BRANDON	55	MAIN_PO	TX
FORT WORTH PFC	BLEDSOE	55	MAIN_PO	TX
FORT WORTH PFC	GIRARD	55	MAIN_PO	TX
FORT WORTH PFC	MARYNEAL	55	MAIN_PO	TX
FORT WORTH PFC	NOLAN	55	MAIN_PO	TX
FORT WORTH PFC	SYLVESTER	55	MAIN_PO	TX
RIO GRANDE PFC	HYE	55	MAIN_PO	TX
RIO GRANDE PFC	TOYAH	55	MAIN_PO	TX
RIO GRANDE PFC	CALLIHAM	55	MAIN_PO	TX
RIO GRANDE PFC	FOWLERTON	55	MAIN_PO	TX
FORT WORTH PFC	LINGLEVILLE	55	MAIN_PO	TX
RIO GRANDE PFC	MOUND	55	MAIN_PO	TX
SALT LAKE CITY PFC	CLAWSON	55	MAIN_PO	UT
SALT LAKE CITY PFC	HENRIEVILLE	55	MAIN_PO	UT
SALT LAKE CITY PFC	LYMAN	55	MAIN_PO	UT
RICHMOND PFC	DOGUE	55	MAIN_PO	VA
RICHMOND PFC	MORATTICO	55	MAIN_PO	VA
RICHMOND PFC	NEW POINT	55	MAIN_PO	VA
RICHMOND PFC	ONEMO	55	MAIN_PO	VA
RICHMOND PFC	SANDY POINT	55	MAIN_PO	VA
RICHMOND PFC	SHARPS	55	MAIN_PO	VA
RICHMOND PFC	SPARTA	55	MAIN_PO	VA
RICHMOND PFC	ASSAWOMAN	55	MAIN_PO	VA
RICHMOND PFC	LOCUSTVILLE	55	MAIN_PO	VA
NORTHERN VIRGINIA PFC	FISHERS HILL	55	MAIN_PO	VA
RICHMOND PFC	AUGUSTA SPRINGS	55	MAIN_PO	VA
RICHMOND PFC	BACOVA	55	MAIN_PO	VA
RICHMOND PFC	CRIDERS	55	MAIN_PO	VA
APPALACHIAN PFC	BANDY	55	MAIN_PO	VA
APPALACHIAN PFC	BROADFORD	55	MAIN_PO	VA
APPALACHIAN PFC	HORSEPEN	55	MAIN_PO	VA
NORTHERN NEW ENGLAND PFC	CAMBRIDGEPORT	55	MAIN_PO	VT
NORTHERN NEW ENGLAND PFC	NORTH THETFORD	55	MAIN_PO	VT
NORTHERN NEW ENGLAND PFC	RUPERT	55	MAIN_PO	VT
SEATTLE PFC	MARLIN	55	MAIN_PO	WA
SEATTLE PFC	STEHEKIN	55	MAIN_PO	WA
LAKELAND PFC	DOYLESTOWN	55	MAIN_PO	WI
LAKELAND PFC	BEETOWN	55	MAIN_PO	WI
LAKELAND PFC	WOODFORD	55	MAIN_PO	WI
NORTHLAND PFC	GILMANTON	55	MAIN_PO	WI
LAKELAND PFC	COLLINS	55	MAIN_PO	WI
NORTHLAND PFC	COMSTOCK	55	MAIN_PO	WI
NORTHLAND PFC	SARONA	55	MAIN_PO	WI
LAKELAND PFC	BIG FALLS	55	MAIN_PO	WI
LAKELAND PFC	TILLEDA	55	MAIN_PO	WI
APPALACHIAN PFC	MONTANA MINES	55	MAIN_PO	WV
APPALACHIAN PFC	SHIRLEY	55	MAIN_PO	WV
APPALACHIAN PFC	HEATERS	55	MAIN_PO	WV
APPALACHIAN PFC	NEBO	55	MAIN_PO	WV

District	Office	Level	FAC Type	State
APPALACHIAN PFC	POINTS	55	MAIN_PO	WV
APPALACHIAN PFC	BIG SANDY	55	MAIN_PO	WV
APPALACHIAN PFC	BLAIR	55	MAIN_PO	WV
APPALACHIAN PFC	COSTA	55	MAIN_PO	WV
APPALACHIAN PFC	COVEL	55	MAIN_PO	WV
APPALACHIAN PFC	GLEN FERRIS	55	MAIN_PO	WV
APPALACHIAN PFC	KELLYSVILLE	55	MAIN_PO	WV
APPALACHIAN PFC	KESLERS CROSS LANES	55	MAIN_PO	WV
APPALACHIAN PFC	MEADOW CREEK	55	MAIN_PO	WV
APPALACHIAN PFC	PENCE SPRINGS	55	MAIN_PO	WV
APPALACHIAN PFC	SHARPLES	55	MAIN_PO	WV
APPALACHIAN PFC	SINKS GROVE	55	MAIN_PO	WV
APPALACHIAN PFC	SMOOT	55	MAIN_PO	WV
APPALACHIAN PFC	SWISS	55	MAIN_PO	WV
APPALACHIAN PFC	TWILIGHT	55	MAIN_PO	WV
APPALACHIAN PFC	WILSONDALE	55	MAIN_PO	WV
COLORADO/WYOMING PFC	DEVILS TOWER	55	MAIN_PO	WY
COLORADO/WYOMING PFC	FAIRVIEW	55	MAIN_PO	WY
COLORADO/WYOMING PFC	HARTVILLE	55	MAIN_PO	WY
COLORADO/WYOMING PFC	HAWK SPRINGS	55	MAIN_PO	WY
COLORADO/WYOMING PFC	HYATTVILLE	55	MAIN_PO	WY
COLORADO/WYOMING PFC	LANCE CREEK	55	MAIN_PO	WY
COLORADO/WYOMING PFC	OPAL	55	MAIN_PO	WY
COLORADO/WYOMING PFC	POWDER RIVER	55	MAIN_PO	WY
COLORADO/WYOMING PFC	RECLUSE	55	MAIN_PO	WY
COLORADO/WYOMING PFC	SAVERY	55	MAIN_PO	WY
COLORADO/WYOMING PFC	TIE SIDING	55	MAIN_PO	WY
COLORADO/WYOMING PFC	VETERAN	55	MAIN_PO	WY
COLORADO/WYOMING PFC	WYARNO	55	MAIN_PO	WY

**UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE RESPONSE TO
PRESIDING OFFICER'S INFORMATION REQUEST NO. 2**

2. Please refer to page 6 of the Statement of Postmaster General/CEO Patrick R. Donahoe Before the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform, Subcommittee on Federal Workforce, U.S. Postal Service and Labor Policy, United States House of Representatives, dated March 2, 2011, discussing the Postal Service's Delivery Unit Optimization (DUO) Initiative.
 - a. Please describe the DUO Initiative and its goals.
 - b. Please describe the potential impact of the DUO Initiative on the Retail Access Optimization (RAO) Initiative.
 - c. Is there any formal coordination between the Postal Service's DUO Initiative and the RAO Initiative proposed in this docket? If so, please describe such coordination efforts and provide all documents that discuss coordination of these two initiatives.

RESPONSE:

a. Delivery Unit Optimization (DUO) refers to a realignment of carriers to fewer locations that improves the efficiency of delivery operations. In the past few years, declines in mail volume and increases in the proportion of mail sorted to delivery point order generated the need consolidate carrier routes. The carrier unions cooperated in a process that reduced carrier routes by many thousands. DUO is a logical follow up that simplifies mail transportation requirements by consolidating carriers into fewer locations. As such, it has advanced the Postal Service's goal of becoming a more streamlined, flexible, and efficient organization.

b-c. At this time, the Postal Service anticipates that DUO, which began many months earlier, will have no impact on the Retail Access Optimization (RAO) Initiative. DUO focuses upon efficiency of carrier delivery, in contrast to

**UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE RESPONSE TO
PRESIDING OFFICER'S INFORMATION REQUEST NO. 2**

the focus in RAO upon access to retail services. The Postal Service is aware of no coordination between DUO and the RAO Initiative.

**UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE RESPONSE TO
PRESIDING OFFICER'S INFORMATION REQUEST NO. 2**

3. Please identify all current postal facilities that the Postal Service considers to be "small post office[s]" as that term is used in 39 U.S.C. 101(b).

RESPONSE:

The Postal Service does not have a specific definition of the quoted statutory language, or of any larger segment of section 101(b). Title 39, United States Code, contains a great many provisions applicable to the Postal Service and the circumstances under which postal services are provided to the wide range of personal and business interests served by the domestic and international service areas. By means of title 39, the legislative and executive branches of the government of these United States grants to the Postal Service broad authority over the mail, how it is collected, processed, transported, stored and delivered.

Statutory language often goes without specific definition. But it guides the development of regulations, management directives, policy memoranda, handbooks, and specific decisions by which statutory guidance mates with the real world of customer interactions and, under title 39, the collection, processing and delivery of all kinds of mail. A postal retail employee interacting with a postal customer relies upon specific regulations such as the *Domestic Mail Manual*, *Postal Operations Manual*, *Administrative Support Manual*, and a host of others that she has been trained to use. If a particular interaction presents a novel questions, the employee can also consult with a more experienced colleague or a supervisory.

Statutory language is often broad and sweeping, and at the same time specific and contradictory. Section 101(a), for example, requires the Postal Service to

**UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE RESPONSE TO
PRESIDING OFFICER'S INFORMATION REQUEST NO. 2**

“provide prompt, reliable and efficient services to patrons in all areas[.]” Then section 101(b) requires it to provide a “maximum degree of effective and regular service to rural areas, communities, and small towns where post offices are not self-sustaining.” Statutory language thus presents enigmas such as, how can “prompt, reliable and efficient services” be provided if some customers must also get a “maximum degree of effective and regular service”? The short answer is that the Postal Service is obliged to figure out how best to do both. And it does so by creating such things as implementing regulations, guidance and training for employees, customer assistance, a Consumer Advocate, and decision making mechanisms backed by rights of appeal.

The specific language this interrogatory quotes survives verbatim to Handbook PO-101, *Postal Service-Operated Retail Facilities Discontinuance Guide*, at 11 (Analyzing Service Alternatives; General, section 231). Its appearance in the guidance for employees who conduct discontinuance studies reflects how important the Handbook's authors understood the statutory language to be. Title 39, like a lot of statutory language, is replete with provisions that appear to be in tension, or inconsistent with one another. See *also*, the response to NAPUS/USPS-T1-42. But that does not mean section 101(b) fails to provide specific guidance to the Postal Service; it becomes a focus of attention in every discontinuance study. A similar tension in title 39 is illustrated by the dual requirements that 1) “No post office shall be closed solely for operating at a deficit,” (39 U.S.C. § 101(b)), which stands juxtaposed with the requirement that 2) the Postal Service “shall consider ... the economic savings to the Postal

**UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE RESPONSE TO
PRESIDING OFFICER'S INFORMATION REQUEST NO. 2**

Service resulting from such closing or consolidation" (39 U.S.C.

§404(d)(2)(A)(iv)). The tension between sections 101(b) and 404(d)(2)(A) provide grist for much of the discussion in respective discontinuance studies.

**UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE RESPONSE TO
PRESIDING OFFICER'S INFORMATION REQUEST NO. 2**

4. Witness Boldt states on page 13 of his testimony that “[i]t should be emphasized that postal management is not pursuing the RAO Initiative in order to achieve any predetermined operating cost savings target in the postal retail network.” Further, witness Boldt states that “it is incumbent upon the Postal Service to review its physical retail network to determine if reasonable opportunities exist for making the network more efficient and customer access more convenient, while continuing to provide adequate access to its products and services ... [and] pay careful attention to its responsibility to efficiently provide service that meets its obligations to the public.” USPS-T-1 at 13.
- a. Please define “adequate access to its products and services” as that phrase is used in witness Boldt’s testimony.
 - b. Please describe how the Postal Service will determine that the RAO Initiative is “making the network more efficient and customer access more convenient, while continuing to provide adequate access to its products and services.” *Id.*
 - c. Is one of the goals or purposes of the RAO Initiative to better align postal retail facilities with demand for postal retail facilities? If not, please explain why not.
 - d. If your response to question 4.c is in the affirmative, please describe how the Postal Service measures or plans to measure whether the RAO Initiative has better aligned postal retail facilities with demand for postal retail facilities.
 - e. Please describe whether and how the Postal Service will review, after implementation, if the RAO Initiative has “ma[de] the network more efficient and customer access more convenient, while continuing to provide adequate access to its products and services.” *Id.*

RESPONSE:

- a. As used in Witness Boldt’s testimony, “adequate access to its products and services” refers to the Postal Service’s goal of aligning the postal retail network, which includes both Postal Service-operated retail facilities and alternate access options, in a way that allows customers to

**UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE RESPONSE TO
PRESIDING OFFICER'S INFORMATION REQUEST NO. 2**

maintain regular and effective access to those postal services of interest while addressing the widely recognized redundancies in the retail network.

b. In accordance with existing law, any assessment of RAO begins with facility specific examination of 3650 facilities; any change in the operations of each facility will depend on that assessment. In assessing the overall effectiveness of the RAO Initiative, the Postal Service will review financial information and customer feedback on both a national and regional basis. Management of the retail network is an ongoing responsibility, so much as the retail network has experienced change in the past, it will also undergo change in the future. As such, that assessment may provide impetus for future change, such as the introduction of additional Village Post Offices.

c. The objective of the RAO Initiative is to evaluate certain categories of facilities within the postal retail network to determine whether their numbers can be reduced while the Postal Service still maintains postal facilities of such character and in such locations, that postal patrons throughout the Nation will, consistent with reasonable economies of postal operations, have ready access to essential postal services. It is expected that in pursuing this objective the Postal Service will create a postal retail network that better reflects the demand for postal retail services.

d. While the response to part (c) is not an unequivocal affirmative, two quantitative measures may exist: annual financial savings and a count of discontinued facilities. In light of the rather modest success of SBOC, at

**UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE RESPONSE TO
PRESIDING OFFICER'S INFORMATION REQUEST NO. 2**

least when measured in those terms, qualitative assessment should also yield meaningful insight.

- e. See the response to part (b) of this question.

**UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE RESPONSE TO
PRESIDING OFFICER'S INFORMATION REQUEST NO. 2**

5. After a discontinuance study is initiated, but prior to closure of a specific facility, how does the Postal Service ensure that alternative access will adequately meet the demand for retail postal services in a particular area?

RESPONSE:

Consideration of how service is provided in “a particular area” is the embodiment of a discontinuance study. However, the specific question posed here is flawed: The Postal Service has zero expectation that “alternative access” by itself “will adequately meet the demand for retail postal services.” Classified retail units remain a necessary part of the mix and the Postal Service has never claimed otherwise. One premise of RAO is that the need to provide regular and effective service to customers does not warrant as many brick and mortar facilities as currently exist; that recognition is widely shared among stakeholders. Monitoring the need for postal services, and the various ways by which they are made available, nonetheless remains the responsibility of postal management.

**UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE RESPONSE TO
PRESIDING OFFICER'S INFORMATION REQUEST NO. 2**

6. After a specific facility is closed, how does the Postal Service ensure that alternative access is adequately meeting the demand for retail postal services in a particular area?

RESPONSE:

As with POIR2, question 5, the Postal Service again denies the premise of this question. Alternative access to retail services, by itself, is not adequate to meet the entire demand for retail services. Nor do the walls of a facility's delivery area prevent customers from entering or leaving that delivery area to obtain access to retail postal services. Meeting customer requirements for access to postal services constitutes the essence of postal management's responsibility locally, nationally, and internationally. The same was true of the Post Office Department. See also the response to POIR2, question 5.

**UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE RESPONSE TO
PRESIDING OFFICER'S INFORMATION REQUEST NO. 2**

7. In its advisory opinion as a result of Docket No. N2009-1, the Commission made specific recommendations for the Postal Service to improve its Station and Branch Optimization and Consolidation Initiative and discontinuance process. See Docket No. N2009-1, Advisory Opinion Concerning the Process for Evaluating Closing Stations and Branches, March 10, 2010 (Advisory Opinion). Please explain what specific changes the Postal Service has made to both its discontinuance procedures and to the formulation of the RAO Initiative as a result of the Advisory Opinion in the areas listed below. Please address each Commission recommendation separately and in detail.

- a. The Postal Service should develop and disseminate guidance for local managers. See Advisory Opinion at 41-44.
- b. The Postal Service should articulate the objectives of the initiative more clearly. See *id.* at 44-46.
- c. The methods used for evaluating proposals for consolidations and closures should include a separate category for community issues. See *id.* at 46-48.
- d. Public notice should be improved. See *id.* at 48-57.
- e. Financial analysis should be improved. See *id.* at 57-61.
- f. Customers should be assured that the Postal Service will adhere to its published procedures. See *id.* at 65-67.
- g. The Postal Service should coordinate this initiative with any other initiatives affecting access.

RESPONSE:

The Postal Service does not understand that any effort should be made, as this question requests, to distinguish causative factors such as the Commission's advice from other such factors. This conclusion gains emphasis from the fact that the Commission's opinion is merely advisory.

The Postal Service was and is under no obligation to act upon the Commission's carefully crafted advisory opinion, nor to map out and

**UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE RESPONSE TO
PRESIDING OFFICER'S INFORMATION REQUEST NO. 2**

undertake responses to specific pieces of that advice. The work requested by the respective parts of this question has accordingly not been undertaken.

To be sure, the Postal Service did examine the Commission's SBOC advisory opinion carefully and thereafter considered what, if anything to do; it then acted based on senior management's decisions in the following ways. The Commission itself participated in the most important development, which was rewriting the regulations underlying Post Office discontinuance, 39 C.F.R. § 241.3, in various ways, many of which were consistent with SBOC advice. Examples that could be understood as responsive to various Commission concerns include expansion of notice to customers, further standardization of discontinuance studies, and subjecting stations and branches to the same process applied to Post Offices.

In turn, the Postal Service also rewrote Handbook PO-101 to improve guidance to discontinuance coordinators and conform to the regulatory changes. Finally, the Postal Service also developed a web based application, CSDC, that the Postal Service OIG lauded in terms that echo some of the Commission suggestions. Commission staff recently attended a technical conference in which this application was shared.

**UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE RESPONSE TO
PRESIDING OFFICER'S INFORMATION REQUEST NO. 2**

8. In the Docket No. N2009-1 Advisory Opinion, the Commission found that “an internal data collection plan would benefit the Postal Service in evaluating whether or not the initiative is meeting its goals, and would provide insight into possible improvements that the Postal Service could make in evaluating facility discontinuances in the future.” *Id.* at 69. Does the Postal Service plan to collect any data following implementation of this initiative? If so, please describe such data and any planned data analysis.

RESPONSE:

The Postal Service is already a data driven organization, as the Commission routinely sees via annual compliance reports and otherwise. It accordingly views new data collection requirements as driven by business requirements. RAO has not, by itself, generated new data collection requirements, implying that existing systems are collecting information that is considered sufficient for any needed evaluation. Data in CSDC, for example, might well be used for retrospective analysis, just as it is now used to assist respective discontinuance studies and appeals of final determinations that the Commission accepts.

**UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE RESPONSE TO
PRESIDING OFFICER'S INFORMATION REQUEST NO. 2**

9. 39 U.S.C. 403(a) states that “[t]he Postal Service shall plan, develop, promote, and provide adequate and efficient postal services....” Additionally, section 403(b) states that “[i]t shall be the responsibility of the Postal Service...(3) to establish and maintain postal facilities of such character and in such locations, that postal patrons throughout the Nation will, consistent with reasonable economies of postal operations, have ready access to essential postal services.” What qualitative or quantitative guidance and/or training is provided or will be provided to the reviewing officials to ensure that the RAO initiative and related discontinuance studies maintain “ready access to essential postal services” and promote and provide “adequate...postal services”? Please provide all documents disseminated to reviewing officials concerning how to maintain compliance with these aspects of the law.

RESPONSE:

This inquiry makes an untenable assumption: that Postal Service programs and communications are defined by these specific legal requirements in isolation from other legal and operational necessities. As such, the Postal Service is not able to respond directly.

At some level, the entirety of title 39, United States Code, title 39 of the Code of Federal Regulations, and the mass of official regulations, handbooks, management guidance, and the history of recorded postal management decisions are responsive to this request.

Moreover, postal employees are not all lawyers, well versed in respective sections of the federal code. Hence the average supervisor, when working through some challenge faced by a letter carrier, can not and does not consider whether section 403(a) plays a particular role in the subject matter. On the contrary, that supervisor probably does think about

**UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE RESPONSE TO
PRESIDING OFFICER'S INFORMATION REQUEST NO. 2**

what regulations and policy impact the subject of discussion, and perhaps also recent training that she gave or received.

Last, the Postal Service does not take respective statutory code sections, and use those to construct training for some particular group of postal officials. Postal lawyers do sometimes cite these sections in legal matters where they somehow bear on issues. Regulations, policy, training, and communications are sometimes guided by legal advice, but sections of the federal code simply are not used as this question surmises. Handbook PO-101 does quote part of section 101(b) as a way of emphasizing how critical that section is to postal discontinuance decisions. But that is a rare exception. More general provisions such as those cited by this question would not generally be necessary or constructive in communication to or among postal workers and officials.

**UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE RESPONSE TO
PRESIDING OFFICER'S INFORMATION REQUEST NO. 2**

10. How does the Postal Service plan to standardize the reviewing processes for discontinuance studies between different reviewing officials in different areas?

RESPONSE:

Such plans have been effectuated. See the last two paragraphs of the response to POIR2, question 7.

**UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE RESPONSE TO
PRESIDING OFFICER'S INFORMATION REQUEST NO. 2**

11. What procedures, guidance, training, or other mechanisms are in place to ensure that when evaluating the potential closing of particular facilities decision makers ensure that the Universal Service Obligation requirements are maintained? Please provide (or if unavailable, describe) such procedures, guidance, training, or other mechanisms.

RESPONSE:

This question suffers from the same flawed assumption of POIR2, question 9. However, all of USPS-T-1 and the resources and topics it references or addresses embody, in various ways, the universal service obligation.

**UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE RESPONSE TO
PRESIDING OFFICER'S INFORMATION REQUEST NO. 2**

13. The Postal Service confirmed that driving distance is typically used to measure proximity between postal facilities and alternate access locations. See Response to DBP/USPS-10. For each facility reviewed under a discontinuance study, does the Postal Service determine the number of customers without access to a vehicle? If so, how? How does the Postal Service consider public transportation routes and costs for those without automobiles?

RESPONSE:

No. No need for determining this has been established, let alone how it could be done reliably. Public transportation is evaluated as appropriate to the context of respective discontinuance studies.

**UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE RESPONSE TO
PRESIDING OFFICER'S INFORMATION REQUEST NO. 2**

14. How does the Postal Service consider customers' ages, disabilities, and socio-economic levels as part of its discontinuance analysis?

RESPONSE:

These factors are evaluated as appropriate in the context of respective discontinuance studies, based upon customer input and local management knowledge. See the response to Question 1 of POIR 1.

**UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE RESPONSE TO
PRESIDING OFFICER'S INFORMATION REQUEST NO. 2**

15. Section 404(d)(2)(A) requires the Postal Service to take into consideration several statutory provisions prior to closing facilities.
- a. How does the Postal Service consider the impact of the RAO Initiative "on the community served"? 39 U.S.C. 404(d)(2)(A)(i).
 - b. Please identify what factors the Postal Service considers most important in evaluating the impact of the RAO Initiative "on the community served." 39 U.S.C. 404(d)(2)(A)(i).
 - c. How does the Postal Service consider the impact of the RAO Initiative "on employees of the Postal Service"? 39 U.S.C. 404(d)(2)(A)(ii).
 - d. Please identify what factors the Postal Service considers most important in evaluating the impact of the RAO initiative "on employees of the Postal Service." 39 U.S.C. 404(d)(A)(ii).
 - e. How does the Postal Service consider the impact of the RAO Initiative as related to "consisten[cy] with the policy of the Government, as stated in section 101 (b) of this title [title 39], that the Postal Service shall provide a maximum degree of effective and regular postal services to rural areas, communities, and small towns where [P]ost [O]ffices are not self-sustaining?" 39 U.S.C. 404(d)(2)(A)(iii).
 - f. Please identify what factors the Postal Service considers most important in evaluating the impact of the RAO Initiative as related to "consisten[cy] with the policy of the Government, as stated in section 101 (b) of this title [title 39], that the Postal Service shall provide a maximum degree of effective and regular postal services to rural areas, communities, and small towns where [P]ost [O]ffices are not self-sustaining." 39 U.S.C. 404(d)(2)(A)(iii).
 - g. How does the Postal Service consider the impact of the RAO Initiative related to "the economic savings to the Postal Service?" 39 U.S.C. 404(d)(2)(A)(iv).
 - h. Please identify what factors the Postal Service considers most important in evaluating the impact of the RAO Initiative as related to "the economic savings to the Postal Service."

RESPONSE:

**UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE RESPONSE TO
PRESIDING OFFICER'S INFORMATION REQUEST NO. 2**

These factors are taken into consideration in the context presented by respective discontinuance studies. Handbook PO-101 provides guidance to discontinuance coordinators on how to do so. To a lesser extent, CSDC also helps.

- a. This question presumes that RAO itself impacts each discontinuance study. That is true only in the sense that, as explained by witness Boldt, RAO serves as the context in which the directive to undertake a discontinuance study was issued. Whether and how that impacted consideration of the impact upon a given community would depend upon developments in each discontinuance study.
- b. The Postal Service does not rank the importance of factors considered in a given study since import depends upon the unique circumstances a study presents.
- c-h. Each of these factors is considered in the context presented by respective discontinuance studies.

**UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE RESPONSE TO
PRESIDING OFFICER'S INFORMATION REQUEST NO. 2**

16. In the context of discontinuance studies, does the Postal Service determine where customers will go for alternative access? If so, how?

RESPONSE:

In a specific discontinuance study, that is possible. This question could be explored by examining some of the administrative records already on file at the Commission in A-series dockets. But if the question is truly inquiring about customers' projected future behavior, the answer is "no". Reliable measures of projected behavior generally require surveys, sampling and statistical tools that are not used in discontinuance studies beyond a fairly straightforward questionnaire.

On a more practical level, discontinuance coordinators evaluate how local classified retail units are operated by the traffic they draw, hours of operation, available P.O. Boxes, distance, etc. The locations of nearby alternate access points are also determined. Actual traffic at nearby consignment units would not be especially useful information, since the number of customers who buy stamps at a particular grocery or drug store is but a small proportion of that location's usual customers; consignees are generally eager to sell stamps to more customers since they see stamp customers make other purchases when visiting. The location of nearby Approved Shippers would be more critical when the customer base of the office studied has, for example, eBay shippers. Contract units typically supply most of the services customers ever use. Rural and HCR letter carriers can supply retail service at each delivery point.

**UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE RESPONSE TO
PRESIDING OFFICER'S INFORMATION REQUEST NO. 2**

Discontinuance coordinators try to educate customers about their local access options; since the single most common retail counter purchase is just stamps; such traffic is easily accommodated by consignees. Stamp availability by phone/fax/internet also commonly gets mentioned. As the range of alternate access options has grown, customers who use them are often enthusiastic about their convenience. Yet many customers also stick with what they know, retail counters in classified units; should 'their' retail unit be discontinued, they do explore other options and are quite often happy with what they find.

**UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE RESPONSE TO
PRESIDING OFFICER'S INFORMATION REQUEST NO. 2**

17. In the context of discontinuance studies, does the Postal Service estimate future expected revenue for the facility if the discontinuance were not to occur? If so, how?

RESPONSE:

Retail revenue for the last three years is typically collected, which provides some basis for estimating potential future revenue.

**UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE RESPONSE TO
PRESIDING OFFICER'S INFORMATION REQUEST NO. 2**

- 18 Please refer to page 4 of witness Boldt's testimony (USPS-T-1) where he states that "alternate retail access channels have proven increasingly popular with postal customers, now accounting for approximately thirty-five percent of retail revenue and trending upward."
- a. Please provide the supporting data for this thirty-five percent number.
 - b. For the areas served by the facilities under consideration for discontinuance study, as a result of the RAO Initiative, what percentage of Postal Service retail revenue comes from alternate retail access channels?
 - c. For rural areas generally, what percentage of Postal Service retail revenue comes from alternate retail access channels?

RESPONSE:

- a. See the attachment to this response.
- b. Such data are not available.
- c. The Postal Service has no business need to define or measure revenue exclusive to rural areas. See also the response to POIR2, question 3, where the lack of a definition is further explained.

**UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE RESPONSE TO
PRESIDING OFFICER'S INFORMATION REQUEST NO. 2**

Attachment to response to Question 18(a)

NATIONAL		
YTD JULY - FY 2011	ACTUAL REVENUE	ACTUAL RATIO
CHANNEL		
Drop Box Alliance	\$ 16,250,000	0.1%
MONEY ORDERS/BOX RENTS/PASS/OTH SVC	\$ 1,047,272,164	7.3%
POSTAGE VALIDATION	\$ 3,770,164,091	26.4%
READY POST/MERCH/OTHER RET PROD	\$ 122,721,873	0.9%
WALK IN REV STAMPS	\$ 4,323,558,505	30.2%
FOREVER STAMPS AT THE WINDOW (NON ADD)	\$ 3,000,786,462	21.0%
SUB TOTAL USPS RETAIL WINDOW	\$ 9,279,846,779	64.92%
Total Forever Stamp Sales (NON ADD)	\$ 4,502,868,091	
CONTRACT UNITS	\$ 372,443,961	2.6%
PC POSTAGE	\$ 2,284,883,365	16.0%
CONSIGNMENT	\$ 982,097,292	6.9%
FOREVER STAMPS ALT ACCESS (NON ADD)	\$ 1,502,081,629	10.5%
SUB TOTAL	\$ 3,639,424,618	25.5%
APC*	\$ 469,946,724	3.3%
APC - PO Box (Non Add)	\$ 4,755,822	0.0%
VENDING		0.0%
SUB TOTAL	\$ 469,946,724	3.3%
Catalog Sales - KC	\$ 5,877,360	0.0%
CLICK & SHIP	\$ 384,830,172	2.7%
NET POST REVENUE	\$ 595,339	0.0%
Online PO Boxes	\$ 32,822,352	0.2%
STAMPED ENVELOPES SALES	\$ 44,100,419	0.3%
Stamp Fullfillment Services - KC (NON ADD)	\$ 368,148,731	2.6%
STAMPS BY MAIL/PHONE/FAX	\$ 437,395,478	3.1%
SUBTOTAL	\$ 905,621,120	6.3%
SUB TOTAL EXPANDED ACCESS	\$ 5,014,992,462	
TOTAL RETAIL REVENUE	\$ 14,294,839,241	35.08%
		Alt Access Ratio